arXiv:1102.5226v3 [math.QA] 26 Oct 2012

Lie bialgebra structures on the extended affine Lie algebra $\widetilde{\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C}_q)}$ *

Ying Xu[a,b], Junbo Li[c]

[a] School of Mathematics of Hefei University of Technology, Hefei 230009, China
[b] School of Mathematical Sciences, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei 230026, China
[c] School of Mathematics and Statistics, Changshu Institute of Technology, Changshu 215500, China
Email: xying@mail.ustc.edu.cn, sd_junbo@163.com

Abstract. Lie bialgebra structures on the extended affine Lie algebra $\widetilde{\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C}_q)}$ are investigated. In particular, all Lie bialgebra structures on $\widetilde{\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C}_q)}$ are shown to be triangular coboundary. This result is obtained by employing some techniques, which may also work for more general extended affine Lie algebras, to prove the triviality of the first cohomology group of $\widetilde{\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C}_q)}$ with coefficients in the tensor product of its adjoint module, namely, $H^1(\widetilde{\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C}_q)}, \widetilde{\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C}_q)} \otimes \widetilde{\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C}_q)}) = 0$.

Key words: Lie bialgebras, Yang-Baxter equations, the extended affine Lie algebra $\widetilde{\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C}_q)}$

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): 17B05, 17B37, 17B62, 17B67.

1 Introduction

It is well known that extended affine Lie algebras (EALAs), first introduced in [14] in the sense of quasi-simple Lie algebras and systematically investigated in [2], can be regarded as higher dimensional generalizations of affine Lie algebras. One of the main features of such Lie algebras is that they are graded by finite root systems possessing nondegenerate symmetric invariant bilinear forms. The EALAs, including toroidal Lie algebras and the central extensions of the matrix Lie algebras coordinated by quantum tori as well-known examples, have been subjects of intensive studies during the last decade (e.g., [1–6, 10–12, 14, 16, 18] and references therein). More precisely, the structure and representation theories of EALAs have been well developed and received much attention via various module realizations (e.g., [4, 5, 10–12, 15, 16]). Despite of the fact that much progress has been achieved on these aspects, it seems that, to the best of our knowledge, not much has yet been known on the aspect of quantum groups associated with EALAs.

Quantizing Lie algebras is an important approach to produce new quantum groups. To quantize a Lie algebra, it is necessary to first know its bialgebra structures. Therefore, investigating Lie bialgebra structures is an important problem and it is our goal to study Lie bialgebra structures on EALAs. In the present paper, we initiate the study by considering Lie bialgebra structures on the extended affine Lie algebra $\widetilde{\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C}_q)}$. We wish the study may provide us an approach to investigate Lie bialgebra structures on more general EALAs.

In order to obtain solutions of quantum Yang-Baxter equations, which plays important roles in mathematical physics, Drinfeld [8, 9] introduced the notion of Lie bialgebras. Lie bialgebra structures on the Witt algebra and the Virasoro algebra were considered and

---

* Supported by NSF grants (No 10825101, 11101056) and the China Postdoctoral Science Foundation Grant (No 201003326)

classified in [22, 26]. Since then, Lie bialgebra structures as well as their quantizations on some infinite-dimensional graded Lie algebras, in particular those containing the Virasoro algebra (or its q-analog) have been extensively studied (e.g., [7, 13, 17, 19, 23, 24, 26–29]). We have noticed that, for the above-mentioned infinite-dimensional graded Lie algebras, the Virasoro algebra plays a very crucial role in determining their bialgebra structures because of the fact that the modules of the intermediate series of the Virasoro algebra have relatively simple module structures (see, e.g., [25]). However, for our case here, due to the fact that the Lie algebra $\widetilde{\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C}_q)}$ has a rather complicated interior algebraic structure, some techniques used in determining bialgebra structures of the above-mentioned Lie algebras cannot be applied to the case for $\widetilde{\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C}_q)}$. More precisely, when we determine the derivations from $\widetilde{\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C}_q)}$ to its tensor product (which is indispensable in determining bialgebra structures), we encounter the following 2 difficulties: Firstly, we have the difficulty to solve some complicated systems of equations with lots of undetermined variables (see, e.g., (3.12)–(3.16)). Secondly, we have the difficulty to choose some suitable inner derivation, denoted $u_{\rm inn}$, apart from some obvious ones (such as those with u being in (3.6)), so that when we subtract a derivation $\mathcal{D}_0$ by the chosen inner derivation $u_{\rm inn}$, the resulting derivation $\mathcal{D}_0 - u_{\rm inn}$ would be as simple as possible. In dealing with problems of determining derivations in this paper, we overcome the first difficulty by employing some new techniques and arguments (cf. proofs of Claims 1 and 2). Then we overcome the second difficulty by finding two rather complicated inner derivations $u_{\rm inn}$, $v_{\rm inn}$ in two steps, so that $\mathcal{D}_0 - u_{\rm inn} - v_{\rm inn}$ has a relatively simple form (cf. (3.30) and (3.31)). We would like to remark that our techniques and arguments may be possibly generalized to more general EALAs. This is also one of our motivations to present the results here.

The main result of this paper can be formulated as follows.

Theorem 1.1. *Every Lie bialgebra structure on* $\widetilde{\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C}_q)}$ *is triangular coboundary.*

2 Preliminary

The extended affine Lie algebra $\widetilde{\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C}_q)}$ is essentially a loop algebra with the algebra of Laurent polynomials replaced by a quantum torus (cf. [20]). We now give the precise definition below. Let q be a nonzero complex number which is not a root of unity, and let $\mathbb{C}_q = \mathcal{C}_q[x^{\pm1}, y^{\pm1}]$ be the $\mathbb{C}$-algebra defined by the generators $x^{\pm1}$, $y^{\pm1}$ and relations $x^{\pm1}x^{\mp1} = 1$, $y^{\pm1}y^{\mp1} = 1$, $yx = qxy$. First, we need to recall the definition of the Lie algebra $\mathcal{L} = \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C}_q)$. Denote by E_{ij} the 2×2 matrix with the (i,j)-entry being equal to 1 and others being 0 for $i, j = 1, 2$. We use $\mathbb{Z}^*$, $\mathbb{Z}^+$ and $\mathbb{Z}^-$ to denote respectively the sets of all nonzero, nonnegative and nonpositive integers. We also denote $\mathbf{0} = (0, 0)$, $\mathbf{Z} = \mathbb{Z}\times\mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathbf{Z}^* = \mathbf{Z}\backslash\{\mathbf{0}\}$. Then the following elements with $\mathbf{m} = (m_1, m_2) \in \mathbf{Z}$, $\mathbf{k} = (k_1, k_2) \in \mathbf{Z}^*$,

$$e_{\mathbf{m}} = E_{12}x^{m_1}y^{m_2}, \quad f_{\mathbf{m}} = E_{21}x^{m_1}y^{m_2},$$

$$d=E_{11}-E_{22},\qquad g_{\mathbf{k}}=E_{11}x^{k_1}y^{k_2},\qquad h_{\mathbf{k}}=E_{22}x^{k_1}y^{k_2},$$

form a basis of $\mathcal{L}$ satisfying the following relations, for $\mathbf{m}'=(m_1',m_2')\in\mathbf{Z}$, $\mathbf{k}'=(k_1',k_2')\in\mathbf{Z}^*$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&[e_{\mathbf{m}},e_{\mathbf{m}'}]=[f_{\mathbf{m}},f_{\mathbf{m}'}]=[d,h_{\mathbf{k}}]=[d,g_{\mathbf{k}}]=[g_{\mathbf{k}},h_{\mathbf{k}'}]=0,\\
&[g_{\mathbf{k}},e_{\mathbf{m}}]=q^{k_2m_1}e_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{m}},\quad [h_{\mathbf{k}},e_{\mathbf{m}}]=-q^{k_1m_2}e_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{m}},\quad [d,e_{\mathbf{m}}]=2e_{\mathbf{m}},\\
&[h_{\mathbf{k}},f_{\mathbf{m}}]=q^{k_2m_1}f_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{m}},\quad [g_{\mathbf{k}},f_{\mathbf{m}}]=-q^{k_1m_2}f_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{m}},\quad [d,f_{\mathbf{m}}]=-2f_{\mathbf{m}},\\
&[e_{\mathbf{m}},f_{-\mathbf{m}}]=q^{m_2m_1'}d,\qquad [e_{\mathbf{m}},f_{\mathbf{m}'}]=q^{m_2m_1'}g_{\mathbf{m}+\mathbf{m}'}-q^{m_2'm_1}h_{\mathbf{m}+\mathbf{m}'}\ \text{if}\ \mathbf{m}+\mathbf{m}'\neq\mathbf{0},\\
&[g_{\mathbf{k}},g_{\mathbf{k}'}]=(q^{k_2k_1'}-q^{k_2'k_1})g_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{k}'},\qquad [h_{\mathbf{k}},h_{\mathbf{k}'}]=(q^{k_2k_1'}-q^{k_2'k_1})h_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{k}'}.
\end{aligned}\tag{2.1}$$

Thus, $\mathcal{L}=\oplus_{(m_1,m_2)\in\mathbf{Z}}\mathcal{L}_{m_1,m_2}$ is $\mathbf{Z}$-graded with

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathcal{L}_{0,0}=\mathbb{C}e_{0,0}\oplus\mathbb{C}f_{0,0}\oplus\mathbb{C}d,\\
&\mathcal{L}_{k_1,k_2}=\mathbb{C}e_{k_1,k_2}\oplus\mathbb{C}f_{k_1,k_2}\oplus\mathbb{C}g_{k_1,k_2}\oplus\mathbb{C}h_{k_1,k_2}\ \ \text{if}\ \ (k_1,k_2)\in\mathbf{Z}^*.
\end{aligned}$$

We introduce two *degree derivations* d_1 and d_2 on $\mathcal{L}$, written in terms of brackets as below,

$$[d_1,L_{m_1,m_2}]=m_1L_{m_1,m_2},\ \ [d_2,L_{m_1,m_2}]=m_2L_{m_1,m_2},\ \ [d_1,d_2]=[d,d_1]=[d,d_2]=0,$$

for $L_{m_1,m_2}\in\mathcal{L}_{m_1,m_2}$. Then the extended affine Lie algebra $\widetilde{\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C}_q)}$ is simply the Lie algebra $\mathcal{L}\oplus\mathbb{C}d_1\oplus\mathbb{C}d_2$, which is denoted by $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}$ throughout the paper. Obviously, $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}=\oplus_{(m_1,m_2)\in\mathbf{Z}}\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{m_1,m_2}$ is also $\mathbf{Z}$-graded with $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{k_1,k_2}=\mathcal{L}_{k_1,k_2}$ if $(k_1,k_2)\in\mathbf{Z}^*$, and $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{0,0}=\mathcal{L}_{0,0}\oplus\mathbb{C}d_1\oplus\mathbb{C}d_2$.

Now let us recall the definitions related to Lie bialgebras. For any $\mathbb{C}$-vector space $\mathcal{S}$, denote by ξ the *cyclic map* of $\mathcal{S}\otimes\mathcal{S}\otimes\mathcal{S}$ cyclically permuting the coordinates, namely, $\xi(x_1\otimes x_2\otimes x_3)=x_2\otimes x_3\otimes x_1$ and by τ the *twist map* of $\mathcal{S}\otimes\mathcal{S}$, i.e., $\tau(x_1\otimes x_2)=x_2\otimes x_1$ for any $x_1,x_2,x_3\in\mathcal{S}$. First we need to reformulate the definitions of Lie algebras and Lie coalgebras as follows: A *Lie algebra* is a pair $(\mathcal{S},\delta)$ of a vector space $\mathcal{S}$ and a linear map $\delta:\mathcal{S}\otimes\mathcal{S}\to\mathcal{S}$ satisfying

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathrm{Ker}\,(1-\tau)\subset\mathrm{Ker}\,\delta,\\
&\delta\cdot(1\otimes\delta)\cdot(1+\xi+\xi^2)=0:\ \ \mathcal{S}\otimes\mathcal{S}\otimes\mathcal{S}\to\mathcal{S}.
\end{aligned}$$

Dually, a *Lie coalgebra* is a pair $(\mathcal{S},\Delta)$ of a vector space $\mathcal{S}$ and a linear map $\Delta:\mathcal{S}\to\mathcal{S}\otimes\mathcal{S}$ satisfying

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathrm{Im}\,\Delta\subset\mathrm{Im}(1-\tau), &(2.2)\\
&(1+\xi+\xi^2)\cdot(1\otimes\Delta)\cdot\Delta=0:\ \ \mathcal{S}\to\mathcal{S}\otimes\mathcal{S}\otimes\mathcal{S}.
\end{aligned}$$

For a Lie algebra $\mathcal{S}$, we also use $[x,y]=\delta(x,y)$ to denote its Lie bracket and the symbol "$\cdot$" to stand for the *diagonal adjoint action*: $x\cdot(a\otimes b)=[x,a]\otimes b+a\otimes[x,b]$.

Definition 2.1. A *Lie bialgebra* is a triple $(\mathcal{S}, \delta, \Delta)$ satisfying

$$\begin{aligned}&(\mathcal{S}, \delta) \text{ is a Lie algebra}, \quad (\mathcal{S}, \Delta) \text{ is a Lie coalgebra},\\ &\Delta\delta(x, y) = x \cdot \Delta y - y \cdot \Delta x, \quad \forall\ x, y \in \mathcal{S}\ (\textit{compatibility condition}).\end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

Denote by $\mathfrak{U}$ the universal enveloping algebra of $\mathcal{S}$ and by 1 the identity element of $\mathfrak{U}$. For any $r = \sum_i a_i \otimes b_i \in \mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{S}$, define r^{12}, r^{13}, r^{23} to be elements of $\mathfrak{U} \otimes \mathfrak{U} \otimes \mathfrak{U}$ by

$$r^{12} = \sum_i a_i \otimes b_i \otimes 1, \quad r^{13} = \sum_i a_i \otimes 1 \otimes b_i, \quad r^{23} = \sum_i 1 \otimes a_i \otimes b_i,$$

and $c(r) = [r^{12}, r^{13}] + [r^{12}, r^{23}] + [r^{13}, r^{23}]$.

Definition 2.2. (1) A *coboundary Lie bialgebra* is a 4-tuple $(\mathcal{S}, \delta, \Delta, r)$, where $(\mathcal{S}, \delta, \Delta)$ is a Lie bialgebra and $r \in \mathrm{Im}(1 - \tau) \subset \mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{S}$ such that $\Delta = \Delta_r$ is a *coboundary of* r, i.e.,

$$\Delta_r(x) = x \cdot r \quad \text{for} \quad x \in \mathcal{S}. \tag{2.4}$$

(2) A coboundary Lie bialgebra $(\mathcal{S}, \delta, \Delta, r)$ is called *triangular* if it satisfies the following *classical Yang-Baxter Equation* (*CYBE*)

$$c(r) = 0. \tag{2.5}$$

3 Proof of the main results

The aim of this section is to give a proof of Theorem 1.1. First one has the following [8,9,22].

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\mathcal{S}$ be a Lie algebra and $r \in \mathrm{Im}(1 - \tau) \subset \mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{S}$.*

(1) *The triple $(\mathcal{S}, [\cdot, \cdot], \Delta_r)$ is a Lie bialgebra if and only if r satisfies CYBE* (2.5).

(2) *For any $x \in \mathcal{S}$, $(1 + \xi + \xi^2) \cdot (1 \otimes \Delta) \cdot \Delta(x) = x \cdot c(r)$.*

Similar to the arguments in [27, Lemma 2.2], one can obtain the following.

Lemma 3.2. *Regard $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes n}$, the tensor product of n copies of $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}$, as an $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}$-module under the adjoint diagonal action of $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}$. If $x \cdot r = 0$ for some $r \in \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}^{\otimes n}$ and all $x \in \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}$, then $r = 0$.*

An element $r \in \mathrm{Im}(1 - \tau) \subset \widetilde{\mathcal{L}} \otimes \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}$ is said to satisfy the *modified Yang-Baxter equation* (*MYBE*) if

$$x \cdot c(r) = 0, \quad \forall\ x \in \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}. \tag{3.1}$$

As a conclusion of Lemma 3.2, we immediately obtain

Corollary 3.3. *Any element $r \in \mathrm{Im}(1 - \tau) \subset \widetilde{\mathcal{L}} \otimes \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}$ satisfies* (2.5) *if and only if it satisfies MYBE* (3.1).

Regard $\mathcal{V}=\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}\otimes\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}$ as an $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}$-module under the adjoint diagonal action. Denote by $\mathrm{Der}(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}},\mathcal{V})$ the set of *derivations* $\mathcal{D}:\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}\to\mathcal{V}$, i.e., $\mathcal{D}$ is a linear map satisfying

$$\mathcal{D}([x,y])=x\cdot\mathcal{D}(y)-y\cdot\mathcal{D}(x)\ \ \text{for}\ \ x,y\in\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}, \tag{3.2}$$

and $\mathrm{Inn}(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}},\mathcal{V})$ the set consisting of *inner derivations* $v_{\rm inn}$, $v\in\mathcal{V}$, defined by

$$v_{\rm inn}:x\mapsto x\cdot v\ \ \text{for}\ \ x\in\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}. \tag{3.3}$$

Denote by $H^1(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}},\mathcal{V})$ the *first cohomology group* of $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}$ with coefficients in the $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}$-module $\mathcal{V}$. Then

$$H^1(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}},\mathcal{V})\cong\mathrm{Der}(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}},\mathcal{V})/\mathrm{Inn}(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}},\mathcal{V}).$$

Proposition 3.4. *We have* $\mathrm{Der}(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}},\mathcal{V})=\mathrm{Inn}(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}},\mathcal{V})$*, i.e.,* $H^1(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}},\mathcal{V})=0$.

We shall prove this proposition by several lemmas. First note that $\mathcal{V}=\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}\otimes\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}=\oplus_{\mathbf{m}\in\mathbf{Z}}\mathcal{V}_{\mathbf{m}}$ is $\mathbf{Z}$-graded with

$$\mathcal{V}_{\mathbf{m}}=\sum_{\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{n}'=\mathbf{m}}\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathbf{n}}\otimes\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathbf{n}'}\quad\text{for}\ \ \mathbf{n},\,\mathbf{n}'\in\mathbf{Z}.$$

A derivation $\mathcal{D}\in\mathrm{Der}(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}},\mathcal{V})$ is *homogeneous of degree* $\mathbf{m}$ if $\mathcal{D}(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathbf{n}})\subset\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathbf{m}+\mathbf{n}}$ for all $\mathbf{n}\in\mathbf{Z}$. Denote

$$\mathrm{Der}(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}},\mathcal{V})_{\mathbf{m}}=\{\mathcal{D}\in\mathrm{Der}(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}},\mathcal{V})\,|\,\deg\mathcal{D}=\mathbf{m}\}\quad\text{for}\ \ \mathbf{m}\in\mathbf{Z}.$$

For any fixed $\mathcal{D}\in\mathrm{Der}(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}},\mathcal{V})$, and any $\mathbf{m}\in\mathbf{Z}$, we define a linear map $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{m}}:\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}\to\mathcal{V}$ as follows: Let $\mu\in\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathbf{n}}$, $\mathbf{n}\in\mathbf{Z}$, we can write $\mathcal{D}(\mu)=\sum_{\mathbf{m}'\in\mathbf{Z}}\mu_{\mathbf{m}'}$ with $\mu_{\mathbf{m}'}\in\mathcal{V}_{\mathbf{m}'}$, then we set $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{m}}(\mu)=\mu_{\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{m}}$. Obviously, $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{m}}\in\mathrm{Der}(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}},\mathcal{V})_{\mathbf{m}}$ and we have

$$\mathcal{D}=\sum_{\mathbf{m}\in\mathbf{Z}}\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{m}}, \tag{3.4}$$

which holds in the sense that for every $\mu\in\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}$, only finitely many $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{m}}(\mu)\neq0$, and $\mathcal{D}(u)=\sum_{\mathbf{m}\in\mathbf{Z}}\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{m}}(\mu)$ (we call such a sum in (3.4) *summable*).

Lemma 3.5. *If* $\mathbf{k}\in\mathbf{Z}^*$*, then* $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{k}}\in\mathrm{Inn}(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}},\mathcal{V})$.

Proof. Denote $\mathtt{T}=\mathrm{Span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{d_1,d_2\}$. Define the nondegenerate bilinear map $(\cdot,\cdot):\mathbf{Z}\times\mathtt{T}\to\mathbb{C}$ by $(\mathbf{m},\rho)=m_1\rho_1+m_2\rho_2$ for $\mathbf{m}=(m_1,m_2)\in\mathbf{Z}$, $\rho=\rho_1d_1+\rho_2d_2\in\mathtt{T}$. We simply denote $\rho(\mathbf{m})=(\mathbf{m},\rho)$. Then for $\mathbf{k}\in\mathbf{Z}^*$, by linear algebra, one can choose $\rho\in\mathtt{T}$ with $\rho(\mathbf{k})\neq0$.

Now for any $x \in \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathbf{m}}$, $\mathbf{m} \in \mathbf{Z}$, by applying $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{n}}$ to $[\rho, x] = \rho(\mathbf{m})x$, and using $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{k}}(x) \in \mathcal{V}_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{m}}$, we have

$$\rho(\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{m})\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{k}}(x) - x \cdot \mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{k}}(\rho) = \rho \cdot \mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{k}}(x) - x \cdot \mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{k}}(\rho) = \rho(\mathbf{m})\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{k}}(x),$$

i.e., $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{k}}(x) = v_{\rm inn}(x)$ with $v = \frac{1}{\rho(\mathbf{k})}\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{k}}(\rho) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathbf{k}}$. Thus $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{k}} \in {\rm Inn}(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}, \mathcal{V})$. $\square$

Lemma 3.6. *We have $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{0}}(d_1) = \mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{0}}(d_2) = 0$.*

Proof. Applying $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{0}}$ to $[d_1, x] = m_1 x$ and $[d_2, x] = m_2 x$ for $x \in \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{m_1,m_2}$, we obtain that $x \cdot \mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{0}}(d_1) = x \cdot \mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{0}}(d_2) = 0$. Thus by Lemma 3.2, $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{0}}(d_1) = \mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{0}}(d_2) = 0$. $\square$

Lemma 3.7. *By replacing $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{0}}$ by $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{0}} - u_{\rm inn}$ for some $u \in \mathcal{V}_{\mathbf{0}}$, one can suppose $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{0}}(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathbf{0}}) = 0$.*

Proof. Write $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{0}}(d)$ as (here and below, we always assume that the sums are over a finite number of $\mathbf{m} \in \mathbf{Z}$ and $\mathbf{k} \in \mathbf{Z}^*$)

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{0}}(d) = {} & \sum_{\mathbf{m}}\big(\lambda^{ee}_{\mathbf{m}} e_{\mathbf{m}}\otimes e_{-\mathbf{m}} + \lambda^{ef}_{\mathbf{m}} e_{\mathbf{m}}\otimes f_{-\mathbf{m}} + \lambda^{fe}_{\mathbf{m}} f_{\mathbf{m}}\otimes e_{-\mathbf{m}} + \lambda^{ff}_{\mathbf{m}} f_{\mathbf{m}}\otimes f_{-\mathbf{m}}\big) + \sum_{\mathbf{k}}\big(\lambda^{eg}_{\mathbf{k}} e_{\mathbf{k}}\otimes g_{-\mathbf{k}} \\
& + \lambda^{eh}_{\mathbf{k}} e_{\mathbf{k}}\otimes h_{-\mathbf{k}} + \lambda^{he}_{\mathbf{k}} h_{\mathbf{k}}\otimes e_{-\mathbf{k}} + \lambda^{ge}_{\mathbf{k}} g_{\mathbf{k}}\otimes e_{-\mathbf{k}} + \lambda^{fg}_{\mathbf{k}} f_{\mathbf{k}}\otimes g_{-\mathbf{k}} + \lambda^{fh}_{\mathbf{k}} f_{\mathbf{k}}\otimes h_{-\mathbf{k}} + \lambda^{gf}_{\mathbf{k}} g_{\mathbf{k}}\otimes f_{-\mathbf{k}} \\
& + \lambda^{hf}_{\mathbf{k}} h_{\mathbf{k}}\otimes f_{-\mathbf{k}} + \lambda^{gg}_{\mathbf{k}} g_{\mathbf{k}}\otimes g_{-\mathbf{k}} + \lambda^{gh}_{\mathbf{k}} g_{\mathbf{k}}\otimes h_{-\mathbf{k}} + \lambda^{hg}_{\mathbf{k}} h_{\mathbf{k}}\otimes g_{-\mathbf{k}} + \lambda^{hh}_{\mathbf{k}} h_{\mathbf{k}}\otimes h_{-\mathbf{k}}\big) \\
& + \lambda^{e}_{d} e_{\mathbf{0}}\otimes d + \lambda^{e}_{d_1} e_{\mathbf{0}}\otimes d_1 + \lambda^{e}_{d_2} e_{\mathbf{0}}\otimes d_2 + \lambda^{f}_{d} f_{\mathbf{0}}\otimes d + \lambda^{f}_{d_1} f_{\mathbf{0}}\otimes d_1 + \lambda^{f}_{d_2} f_{\mathbf{0}}\otimes d_2 + \lambda^{d}_{e} d\otimes e_{\mathbf{0}} \\
& + \lambda^{d}_{f} d\otimes f_{\mathbf{0}} + \lambda^{d}_{d} d\otimes d + \lambda^{d}_{d_1} d\otimes d_1 + \lambda^{d}_{d_2} d\otimes d_2 + \lambda^{d_1}_{e} d_1\otimes e_{\mathbf{0}} + \lambda^{d_1}_{f} d_1\otimes f_{\mathbf{0}} + \lambda^{d_1}_{d} d_1\otimes d \\
& + \lambda^{d_1}_{d_1} d_1\otimes d_1 + \lambda^{d_1}_{d_2} d_1\otimes d_2 + \lambda^{d_2}_{e} d_2\otimes e_{\mathbf{0}} + \lambda^{d_2}_{f} d_2\otimes f_{\mathbf{0}} + \lambda^{d_2}_{d} d_2\otimes d + \lambda^{d_2}_{d_1} d_2\otimes d_1 + \lambda^{d_2}_{d_2} d_2\otimes d_2, \quad (3.5)
\end{aligned}$$

where the coefficients associated with the Greek symbol λ are all in $\mathbb{C}[q^{\pm 1}]$ (here and below, we always use the Greek symbols $\lambda, \mu, \nu, \eta, \xi, \zeta, \gamma$ with some meaningful superscripts and subscripts to denote undetermined coefficients in $\mathbb{C}[q^{\pm 1}]$). We need to use the following identities

$$\begin{aligned}
& d \cdot (e_{\mathbf{k}} \otimes g_{-\mathbf{k}}) = 2e_{\mathbf{k}} \otimes g_{-\mathbf{k}}, && d \cdot (f_{\mathbf{k}} \otimes g_{-\mathbf{k}}) = -2f_{\mathbf{k}} \otimes g_{-\mathbf{k}}, \\
& d \cdot (g_{\mathbf{k}} \otimes e_{-\mathbf{k}}) = 2g_{\mathbf{k}} \otimes e_{-\mathbf{k}}, && d \cdot (g_{\mathbf{k}} \otimes f_{-\mathbf{k}}) = -2g_{\mathbf{k}} \otimes f_{-\mathbf{k}}, \\
& d \cdot (e_{\mathbf{k}} \otimes h_{-\mathbf{k}}) = 2e_{\mathbf{k}} \otimes h_{-\mathbf{k}}, && d \cdot (f_{\mathbf{k}} \otimes h_{-\mathbf{k}}) = -2f_{\mathbf{k}} \otimes h_{-\mathbf{k}}, \\
& d \cdot (h_{\mathbf{k}} \otimes e_{-\mathbf{k}}) = 2h_{\mathbf{k}} \otimes e_{-\mathbf{k}}, && d \cdot (h_{\mathbf{k}} \otimes f_{-\mathbf{k}}) = -2h_{\mathbf{k}} \otimes f_{-\mathbf{k}}, \\
& d \cdot (e_{\mathbf{m}} \otimes e_{-\mathbf{m}}) = 4e_{\mathbf{m}} \otimes e_{-\mathbf{m}}, && d \cdot (f_{\mathbf{m}} \otimes f_{-\mathbf{m}}) = -4f_{\mathbf{m}} \otimes f_{-\mathbf{m}},
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& d \cdot (e_{\mathbf{0}} \otimes d) = 2e_{\mathbf{0}} \otimes d, && d \cdot (e_{\mathbf{0}} \otimes d_1) = 2e_{\mathbf{0}} \otimes d_1, && d \cdot (e_{\mathbf{0}} \otimes d_2) = 2e_{\mathbf{0}} \otimes d_2, \\
& d \cdot (d \otimes e_{\mathbf{0}}) = 2d \otimes e_{\mathbf{0}}, && d \cdot (d_1 \otimes e_{\mathbf{0}}) = 2d_1 \otimes e_{\mathbf{0}}, && d \cdot (d_2 \otimes e_{\mathbf{0}}) = 2d_2 \otimes e_{\mathbf{0}}, \\
& d \cdot (f_{\mathbf{0}} \otimes d) = -2f_{\mathbf{0}} \otimes d, && d \cdot (f_{\mathbf{0}} \otimes d_1) = -2f_{\mathbf{0}} \otimes d_1, && d \cdot (f_{\mathbf{0}} \otimes d_2) = -2f_{\mathbf{0}} \otimes d_2, \\
& d \cdot (d \otimes f_{\mathbf{0}}) = -2d \otimes f_{\mathbf{0}}, && d \cdot (d_1 \otimes f_{\mathbf{0}}) = -2d_1 \otimes f_{\mathbf{0}}, && d \cdot (d_2 \otimes f_{\mathbf{0}}) = -2d_2 \otimes f_{\mathbf{0}}.
\end{aligned}$$

In particuler, by replacing $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{0}}$ by $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{0}} - u_{\rm inn}$, where u is some combination of

$$e_{\mathbf{m}} \otimes e_{-\mathbf{m}}, \quad e_{\mathbf{k}} \otimes g_{-\mathbf{k}}, \quad e_{\mathbf{k}} \otimes h_{-\mathbf{k}}, \quad f_{\mathbf{m}} \otimes f_{-\mathbf{m}}, \quad f_{\mathbf{k}} \otimes g_{-\mathbf{k}}, \quad f_{\mathbf{k}} \otimes h_{-\mathbf{k}}, \quad g_{\mathbf{k}} \otimes e_{-\mathbf{k}},$$

$$\begin{aligned}&h_{\mathbf{k}}\otimes e_{-\mathbf{k}},\quad g_{\mathbf{k}}\otimes f_{-\mathbf{k}},\quad h_{\mathbf{k}}\otimes f_{-\mathbf{k}},\quad e_{\mathbf{0}}\otimes d,\quad e_{\mathbf{0}}\otimes d_1,\quad e_{\mathbf{0}}\otimes d_2,\quad f_{\mathbf{0}}\otimes d,\quad f_{\mathbf{0}}\otimes d_1,\\ &f_{\mathbf{0}}\otimes d_2,\quad d\otimes e_{\mathbf{0}},\quad d\otimes f_{\mathbf{0}},\quad d_1\otimes e_{\mathbf{0}},\quad d_1\otimes f_{\mathbf{0}},\quad d_2\otimes e_{\mathbf{0}},\quad d_2\otimes f_{\mathbf{0}},\end{aligned}\tag{3.6}$$

we can suppose

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda^{ee}_{\mathbf{m}}=\ &\lambda^{eg}_{\mathbf{k}}=\lambda^{eh}_{\mathbf{k}}=\lambda^{e}_{d}=\lambda^{e}_{d_1}=\lambda^{e}_{d_2}=\lambda^{ff}_{\mathbf{m}}=\lambda^{fg}_{\mathbf{k}}=\lambda^{fh}_{\mathbf{k}}=\lambda^{f}_{d}=\lambda^{f}_{d_1}\\ =\ &\lambda^{f}_{d_2}=\lambda^{ge}_{\mathbf{k}}=\lambda^{gf}_{\mathbf{k}}=\lambda^{he}_{\mathbf{k}}=\lambda^{hf}_{\mathbf{k}}=\lambda^{d}_{e}=\lambda^{d}_{f}=\lambda^{d_1}_{e}=\lambda^{d_1}_{f}=\lambda^{d_2}_{e}=\lambda^{d_2}_{f}=0.\end{aligned}$$

Thus $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{0}}(d)$ can be simplified as

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{0}}(d)=&\sum_{\mathbf{m}}\big(\lambda^{ef}_{\mathbf{m}}e_{\mathbf{m}}\otimes f_{-\mathbf{m}}+\lambda^{fe}_{\mathbf{m}}f_{\mathbf{m}}\otimes e_{-\mathbf{m}}\big)+\lambda^{d}_{d}d\otimes d+\lambda^{d}_{d_1}d\otimes d_1+\lambda^{d}_{d_2}d\otimes d_2\\ &+\sum_{\mathbf{k}}\big(\lambda^{gg}_{\mathbf{k}}g_{\mathbf{k}}\otimes g_{-\mathbf{k}}+\lambda^{gh}_{\mathbf{k}}g_{\mathbf{k}}\otimes h_{-\mathbf{k}}+\lambda^{hg}_{\mathbf{k}}h_{\mathbf{k}}\otimes g_{-\mathbf{k}}+\lambda^{hh}_{\mathbf{k}}h_{\mathbf{k}}\otimes h_{-\mathbf{k}}\big)\\ &+\lambda^{d_1}_{d}d_1\otimes d+\lambda^{d_1}_{d_1}d_1\otimes d_1+\lambda^{d_1}_{d_2}d_1\otimes d_2+\lambda^{d_2}_{d}d_2\otimes d+\lambda^{d_2}_{d_1}d_2\otimes d_1+\lambda^{d_2}_{d_2}d_2\otimes d_2.\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, write (cf. statement after (3.5))

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{0}}(e_{\mathbf{0}})=&\sum_{\mathbf{m}}\big(\mu^{ee}_{\mathbf{m}}e_{\mathbf{m}}\otimes e_{-\mathbf{m}}+\mu^{ef}_{\mathbf{m}}e_{\mathbf{m}}\otimes f_{-\mathbf{m}}+\mu^{fe}_{\mathbf{m}}f_{\mathbf{m}}\otimes e_{-\mathbf{m}}+\mu^{ff}_{\mathbf{m}}f_{\mathbf{m}}\otimes f_{-\mathbf{m}}\big)+\sum_{\mathbf{k}}\big(\mu^{eg}_{\mathbf{k}}e_{\mathbf{k}}\otimes g_{-\mathbf{k}}\\ &+\mu^{eh}_{\mathbf{k}}e_{\mathbf{k}}\otimes h_{-\mathbf{k}}+\mu^{he}_{\mathbf{k}}h_{\mathbf{k}}\otimes e_{-\mathbf{k}}+\mu^{ge}_{\mathbf{k}}g_{\mathbf{k}}\otimes e_{-\mathbf{k}}+\mu^{fg}_{\mathbf{k}}f_{\mathbf{k}}\otimes g_{-\mathbf{k}}+\mu^{fh}_{\mathbf{k}}f_{\mathbf{k}}\otimes h_{-\mathbf{k}}+\mu^{gf}_{\mathbf{k}}g_{\mathbf{k}}\otimes f_{-\mathbf{k}}\\ &+\mu^{hf}_{\mathbf{k}}h_{\mathbf{k}}\otimes f_{-\mathbf{k}}+\mu^{gg}_{\mathbf{k}}g_{\mathbf{k}}\otimes g_{-\mathbf{k}}+\mu^{gh}_{\mathbf{k}}g_{\mathbf{k}}\otimes h_{-\mathbf{k}}+\mu^{hg}_{\mathbf{k}}h_{\mathbf{k}}\otimes g_{-\mathbf{k}}+\mu^{hh}_{\mathbf{k}}h_{\mathbf{k}}\otimes h_{-\mathbf{k}}\big)\\ &+\mu^{e}_{d}e_{\mathbf{0}}\otimes d+\mu^{e}_{d_1}e_{\mathbf{0}}\otimes d_1+\mu^{e}_{d_2}e_{\mathbf{0}}\otimes d_2+\mu^{f}_{d}f_{\mathbf{0}}\otimes d+\mu^{f}_{d_1}f_{\mathbf{0}}\otimes d_1+\mu^{f}_{d_2}f_{\mathbf{0}}\otimes d_2+\mu^{d}_{e}d\otimes e_{\mathbf{0}}\\ &+\mu^{d}_{f}d\otimes f_{\mathbf{0}}+\mu^{d}_{d}d\otimes d+\mu^{d}_{d_1}d\otimes d_1+\mu^{d}_{d_2}d\otimes d_2+\mu^{d_1}_{e}d_1\otimes e_{\mathbf{0}}+\mu^{d_1}_{f}d_1\otimes f_{\mathbf{0}}+\mu^{d_1}_{d}d_1\otimes d\\ &+\mu^{d_1}_{d_1}d_1\otimes d_1+\mu^{d_1}_{d_2}d_1\otimes d_2+\mu^{d_2}_{e}d_2\otimes e_{\mathbf{0}}+\mu^{d_2}_{f}d_2\otimes f_{\mathbf{0}}+\mu^{d_2}_{d}d_2\otimes d+\mu^{d_2}_{d_1}d_2\otimes d_1+\mu^{d_2}_{d_2}d_2\otimes d_2.\end{aligned}$$

Note that we have

$$\begin{aligned}&e_{\mathbf{0}}\cdot(h_{\mathbf{k}}\otimes g_{-\mathbf{k}})=e_{\mathbf{k}}\otimes g_{-\mathbf{k}}-h_{\mathbf{k}}\otimes e_{-\mathbf{k}},\quad e_{\mathbf{0}}\cdot(f_{\mathbf{k}}\otimes e_{-\mathbf{k}})=g_{\mathbf{k}}\otimes e_{-\mathbf{k}}-h_{\mathbf{k}}\otimes e_{-\mathbf{k}},\\ &e_{\mathbf{0}}\cdot(g_{\mathbf{k}}\otimes g_{-\mathbf{k}})=-e_{\mathbf{k}}\otimes g_{-\mathbf{k}}-g_{\mathbf{k}}\otimes e_{-\mathbf{k}},\quad e_{\mathbf{0}}\cdot(h_{\mathbf{k}}\otimes h_{-\mathbf{k}})=e_{\mathbf{k}}\otimes h_{-\mathbf{k}}+h_{\mathbf{k}}\otimes e_{-\mathbf{k}},\\ &e_{\mathbf{0}}\cdot(f_{\mathbf{0}}\otimes e_{\mathbf{0}})=d\otimes e_{\mathbf{0}},\qquad e_{\mathbf{0}}\cdot(d\otimes d_1)=-2e_{\mathbf{0}}\otimes d_1,\qquad e_{\mathbf{0}}\cdot(d\otimes d_2)=-2e_{\mathbf{0}}\otimes d_2,\\ &e_{\mathbf{0}}\cdot(e_{\mathbf{0}}\otimes f_{\mathbf{0}})=e_{\mathbf{0}}\otimes d,\qquad e_{\mathbf{0}}\cdot(d_1\otimes d)=-2d_1\otimes e_{\mathbf{0}},\qquad e_{\mathbf{0}}\cdot(d_2\otimes d)=-2d_2\otimes e_{\mathbf{0}}.\end{aligned}$$

The first four equations imply

$$\begin{aligned}e_{\mathbf{k}}\otimes h_{-\mathbf{k}}&=\frac{1}{2}e_{\mathbf{0}}\cdot(g_{\mathbf{k}}\otimes g_{-\mathbf{k}}+h_{\mathbf{k}}\otimes g_{-\mathbf{k}}+2h_{\mathbf{k}}\otimes h_{-\mathbf{k}}+f_{\mathbf{k}}\otimes e_{-\mathbf{k}}),\\ h_{\mathbf{k}}\otimes e_{-\mathbf{k}}&=-\frac{1}{2}e_{\mathbf{0}}\cdot(g_{\mathbf{k}}\otimes g_{-\mathbf{k}}+f_{\mathbf{k}}\otimes e_{-\mathbf{k}}+h_{\mathbf{k}}\otimes g_{-\mathbf{k}}),\\ e_{\mathbf{k}}\otimes g_{-\mathbf{k}}&=-\frac{1}{2}e_{\mathbf{0}}\cdot(g_{\mathbf{k}}\otimes g_{-\mathbf{k}}+f_{\mathbf{k}}\otimes e_{-\mathbf{k}}-h_{\mathbf{k}}\otimes g_{-\mathbf{k}}),\end{aligned}$$

$$g_{\mathbf{k}}\otimes e_{-\mathbf{k}} = -\frac{1}{2}e_{\mathbf{0}}\cdot(g_{\mathbf{k}}\otimes g_{-\mathbf{k}} + h_{\mathbf{k}}\otimes g_{-\mathbf{k}} - f_{\mathbf{k}}\otimes e_{-\mathbf{k}}).$$

Thus, by replacing $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{0}}$ by $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{0}} - u_{\rm inn}$, where u is some combination of $g_{\mathbf{k}}\otimes g_{-\mathbf{k}}$, $h_{\mathbf{k}}\otimes h_{-\mathbf{k}}$, $h_{\mathbf{k}}\otimes g_{-\mathbf{k}}$, $f_{\mathbf{m}}\otimes e_{-\mathbf{m}}$, $e_{\mathbf{0}}\otimes f_{\mathbf{0}}$, $d_1\otimes d$, $d\otimes d_1$, $d\otimes d_2$, and $d_2\otimes d$, we can suppose

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{0}}(e_{\mathbf{0}}) = {} & \sum_{\mathbf{m}}\big(\mu^{ee}_{\mathbf{m}}e_{\mathbf{m}}\otimes e_{-\mathbf{m}} + \mu^{ef}_{\mathbf{m}}e_{\mathbf{m}}\otimes f_{-\mathbf{m}} + \mu^{fe}_{\mathbf{m}}f_{\mathbf{m}}\otimes e_{-\mathbf{m}} + \mu^{ff}_{\mathbf{m}}f_{\mathbf{m}}\otimes f_{-\mathbf{m}}\big) + \sum_{\mathbf{k}}\big(\mu^{fg}_{\mathbf{k}}f_{\mathbf{k}}\otimes g_{-\mathbf{k}} \\ & + \mu^{fh}_{\mathbf{k}}f_{\mathbf{k}}\otimes h_{-\mathbf{k}} + \mu^{gf}_{\mathbf{k}}g_{\mathbf{k}}\otimes f_{-\mathbf{k}} + \mu^{hf}_{\mathbf{k}}h_{\mathbf{k}}\otimes f_{-\mathbf{k}} + \mu^{gg}_{\mathbf{k}}g_{\mathbf{k}}\otimes g_{-\mathbf{k}} + \mu^{gh}_{\mathbf{k}}g_{\mathbf{k}}\otimes h_{-\mathbf{k}} + \mu^{hg}_{\mathbf{k}}h_{\mathbf{k}}\otimes g_{-\mathbf{k}} \\ & + \mu^{hh}_{\mathbf{k}}h_{\mathbf{k}}\otimes h_{-\mathbf{k}}\big) + \mu^{f}_{d}f_{\mathbf{0}}\otimes d + \mu^{f}_{d_1}f_{\mathbf{0}}\otimes d_1 + \mu^{f}_{d_2}f_{\mathbf{0}}\otimes d_2 + \mu^{d}_{f}d\otimes f_{\mathbf{0}} + \mu^{d}_{d}d\otimes d \\ & + \mu^{d}_{d_1}d\otimes d_1 + \mu^{d}_{d_2}d\otimes d_2 + \mu^{d_1}_{f}d_1\otimes f_{\mathbf{0}} + \mu^{d_1}_{d}d_1\otimes d + \mu^{d_1}_{d_1}d_1\otimes d_1 + \mu^{d_1}_{d_2}d_1\otimes d_2 \\ & + \mu^{d_2}_{f}d_2\otimes f_{\mathbf{0}} + \mu^{d_2}_{d}d_2\otimes d + \mu^{d_2}_{d_1}d_2\otimes d_1 + \mu^{d_2}_{d_2}d_2\otimes d_2.\end{aligned}$$

Applying $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{0}}$ to $[d, e_{\mathbf{0}}] = 2e_{\mathbf{0}}$, we obtain

$$d\cdot\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{0}}(e_{\mathbf{0}}) - e_{\mathbf{0}}\cdot\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{0}}(d) = 2\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{0}}(e_{\mathbf{0}}).$$

Comparing both sides of the above equation, one sees that

$$\begin{aligned}& \mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{0}}(e_{\mathbf{0}}) = 0, && (3.7)\\ & \lambda^{d}_{d_1} = \lambda^{d}_{d_2} = \lambda^{d_1}_{d} = \lambda^{d_2}_{d} = 0, \\ & \lambda^{ef}_{\mathbf{k}} - \lambda^{gg}_{\mathbf{k}} + \lambda^{hg}_{\mathbf{k}} = 0,\quad \lambda^{ef}_{\mathbf{k}} + \lambda^{gh}_{\mathbf{k}} - \lambda^{hh}_{\mathbf{k}} = 0,\quad \lambda^{ef}_{\mathbf{0}} - 2\lambda^{d}_{d} = 0, \\ & \lambda^{fe}_{\mathbf{k}} + \lambda^{hg}_{\mathbf{k}} - \lambda^{hh}_{\mathbf{k}} = 0,\quad \lambda^{fe}_{\mathbf{k}} - \lambda^{gg}_{\mathbf{k}} + \lambda^{gh}_{\mathbf{k}} = 0,\quad \lambda^{fe}_{\mathbf{0}} - 2\lambda^{d}_{d} = 0. && (3.8)\end{aligned}$$

Write (cf. statement after (3.5))

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{0}}(f_{\mathbf{0}}) = {} & \sum_{\mathbf{m}}\big(\nu^{ee}_{\mathbf{m}}e_{\mathbf{m}}\otimes e_{-\mathbf{m}} + \nu^{ef}_{\mathbf{m}}e_{\mathbf{m}}\otimes f_{-\mathbf{m}} + \nu^{fe}_{\mathbf{m}}f_{\mathbf{m}}\otimes e_{-\mathbf{m}} + \nu^{ff}_{\mathbf{m}}f_{\mathbf{m}}\otimes f_{-\mathbf{m}}\big) + \sum_{\mathbf{k}}\big(\nu^{eg}_{\mathbf{k}}e_{\mathbf{k}}\otimes g_{-\mathbf{k}} \\ & + \nu^{eh}_{\mathbf{k}}e_{\mathbf{k}}\otimes h_{-\mathbf{k}} + \nu^{he}_{\mathbf{k}}h_{\mathbf{k}}\otimes e_{-\mathbf{k}} + \nu^{ge}_{\mathbf{k}}g_{\mathbf{k}}\otimes e_{-\mathbf{k}} + \nu^{fg}_{\mathbf{k}}f_{\mathbf{k}}\otimes g_{-\mathbf{k}} + \nu^{fh}_{\mathbf{k}}f_{\mathbf{k}}\otimes h_{-\mathbf{k}} + \nu^{gf}_{\mathbf{k}}g_{\mathbf{k}}\otimes f_{-\mathbf{k}} \\ & + \nu^{hf}_{\mathbf{k}}h_{\mathbf{k}}\otimes f_{-\mathbf{k}} + \nu^{gg}_{\mathbf{k}}g_{\mathbf{k}}\otimes g_{-\mathbf{k}} + \nu^{gh}_{\mathbf{k}}g_{\mathbf{k}}\otimes h_{-\mathbf{k}} + \nu^{hg}_{\mathbf{k}}h_{\mathbf{k}}\otimes g_{-\mathbf{k}} + \nu^{hh}_{\mathbf{k}}h_{\mathbf{k}}\otimes h_{-\mathbf{k}}\big) \\ & + \nu^{e}_{d}e_{\mathbf{0}}\otimes d + \nu^{e}_{d_1}e_{\mathbf{0}}\otimes d_1 + \nu^{e}_{d_2}e_{\mathbf{0}}\otimes d_2 + \nu^{f}_{d}f_{\mathbf{0}}\otimes d + \nu^{f}_{d_1}f_{\mathbf{0}}\otimes d_1 + \nu^{f}_{d_2}f_{\mathbf{0}}\otimes d_2 + \nu^{d}_{e}d\otimes e_{\mathbf{0}} \\ & + \nu^{d}_{f}d\otimes f_{\mathbf{0}} + \nu^{d}_{d}d\otimes d + \nu^{d}_{d_1}d\otimes d_1 + \nu^{d}_{d_2}d\otimes d_2 + \nu^{d_1}_{e}d_1\otimes e_{\mathbf{0}} + \nu^{d_1}_{f}d_1\otimes f_{\mathbf{0}} + \nu^{d_1}_{d}d_1\otimes d \\ & + \nu^{d_1}_{d_1}d_1\otimes d_1 + \nu^{d_1}_{d_2}d_1\otimes d_2 + \nu^{d_2}_{e}d_2\otimes e_{\mathbf{0}} + \nu^{d_2}_{f}d_2\otimes f_{\mathbf{0}} + \nu^{d_2}_{d}d_2\otimes d + \nu^{d_2}_{d_1}d_2\otimes d_1 + \nu^{d_2}_{d_2}d_2\otimes d_2.\end{aligned}$$

Applying $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{0}}$ to $[d, f_{\mathbf{0}}] = -2f_{\mathbf{0}}$, then $d\cdot\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{0}}(f_{\mathbf{0}}) - f_{\mathbf{0}}\cdot\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{0}}(d) = -2\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{0}}(f_{\mathbf{0}})$. By (3.8), $f_{\mathbf{0}}\cdot\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{0}}(d) = 0$. Thus $d\cdot\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{0}}(f_{\mathbf{0}}) = -2\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{0}}(f_{\mathbf{0}})$, from which, one can deduce

$$\begin{aligned}\nu^{ee}_{\mathbf{m}} & = \nu^{ef}_{\mathbf{m}} = \nu^{eg}_{\mathbf{k}} = \nu^{eh}_{\mathbf{k}} = \nu^{fe}_{\mathbf{m}} = \nu^{ff}_{\mathbf{m}} = \nu^{ge}_{\mathbf{k}} = \nu^{he}_{\mathbf{k}} = \nu^{gg}_{\mathbf{k}} \\ & = \nu^{gh}_{\mathbf{k}} = \nu^{hg}_{\mathbf{k}} = \nu^{hh}_{\mathbf{k}} = \nu^{e}_{d} = \nu^{e}_{d_1} = \nu^{e}_{d_2} = \nu^{d}_{e} = \nu^{d_1}_{e} = \nu^{d_2}_{e} \\ & = \nu^{d}_{d} = \nu^{d}_{d_1} = \nu^{d_1}_{d} = \nu^{d_1}_{d_1} = \nu^{d_2}_{d} = \nu^{d_2}_{d_1} = \lambda^{d}_{d_2} = \lambda^{d_1}_{d_2} = \lambda^{d_2}_{d_2} = 0.\end{aligned}$$

Applying $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{0}}$ to $[e_{\mathbf{0}}, f_{\mathbf{0}}] = d$ and using (3.7), we obtain $e_{\mathbf{0}} \cdot \mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{0}}(f_{\mathbf{0}}) = \mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{0}}(d)$, from which and the above identities, it is no wonder that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lambda^{ef}_{\mathbf{0}} + 2\nu^d_f = \lambda^{fe}_{\mathbf{0}} + 2\nu^f_d = \lambda^d_d - \nu^f_d - \nu^d_f = 0,\\
&\lambda^{d_1}_{d_1} = \lambda^{d_1}_{d_2} = \lambda^{d_2}_{d_1} = \lambda^{d_2}_{d_2} = \nu^f_{d_1} = \nu^f_{d_2} = \nu^{d_1}_f = \nu^{d_2}_f = 0,\\
&\lambda^{ef}_{\mathbf{k}} = \nu^{hf}_{\mathbf{k}} - \nu^{gh}_{\mathbf{k}}, \quad \lambda^{fe}_{\mathbf{k}} = \nu^{fh}_{\mathbf{k}} - \nu^{fg}_{\mathbf{k}}, \quad \lambda^{gg}_{\mathbf{k}} = \nu^{fg}_{\mathbf{k}} + \nu^{gf}_{\mathbf{k}},\\
&\lambda^{gh}_{\mathbf{k}} = \nu^{fh}_{\mathbf{k}} - \nu^{gf}_{\mathbf{k}}, \quad \lambda^{hg}_{\mathbf{k}} = \nu^{hf}_{\mathbf{k}} - \nu^{fg}_{\mathbf{k}}, \quad \lambda^{hh}_{\mathbf{k}} = -\nu^{hf}_{\mathbf{k}} - \nu^{fh}_{\mathbf{k}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Using these identities and (3.8), one deduces that $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{0}}(d) = \mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{0}}(f_{\mathbf{0}}) = 0$. By the fact that $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathbf{0}} = \mathbb{C}e_{\mathbf{0}} \oplus \mathbb{C}f_{\mathbf{0}} \oplus \mathbb{C}d \oplus \mathbb{C}d_1 \oplus \mathbb{C}d_2$, we obtain the lemma. □

Remark 3.8. We always use the convention that if an undefined symbol technically appears in an expression, we always treat it as zero; for instance, $g_{0,0} = h_{0,0} = 0$.

Lemma 3.9. *By replacing $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{0}}$ by $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{0}} - u_{\rm inn}$ for some $u_{\rm inn} \in \mathcal{V}_{\mathbf{0}}$, one can suppose $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{0}}(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{m,n}) = 0$ for $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$.*

Proof. Using Lemma 3.7 and the fact that $[d, g_{0,1}] = 0$, we deduce $d \cdot \mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{0}}(g_{0,1}) = 0$. Thus we can write (cf. statement after (3.5))

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{0}}(g_{0,1}) = \sum_{(m,n)\in\mathbf{Z}} \big(&\eta^{ef}_{m,n} e_{m,n} \otimes f_{-m,1-n} + \eta^{fe}_{m,n} f_{m,n} \otimes e_{-m,1-n} + \eta^{gg}_{mn} g_{m,n} \otimes g_{-m,1-n}\\
&+ \eta^{gh}_{m,n} g_{m,n} \otimes h_{-m,1-n} + \eta^{hg}_{m,n} h_{m,n} \otimes g_{-m,1-n} + \eta^{hh}_{m,n} h_{m,n} \otimes h_{-m,1-n}\big)\\
&+ \eta^g_d g_{0,1} \otimes d + \eta^d_g d \otimes g_{0,1} + \eta^g_{d_1} g_{0,1} \otimes d_1 + \eta^{d_1}_g d_1 \otimes g_{0,1} + \eta^g_{d_2} g_{0,1} \otimes d_2 + \eta^{d_2}_g d_2 \otimes g_{0,1}\\
&+ \eta^h_d h_{0,1} \otimes d + \eta^d_h d \otimes h_{0,1} + \eta^h_{d_1} h_{0,1} \otimes d_1 + \eta^{d_1}_h d_1 \otimes h_{0,1} + \eta^h_{d_2} h_{0,1} \otimes d_2 + \eta^{d_2}_h d_2 \otimes h_{0,1}. \qquad (3.9)
\end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
&g_{0,1} \cdot (d_2 \otimes d_2) = -d_2 \otimes g_{0,1} - g_{0,1} \otimes d_2,\\
&g_{0,1} \cdot (d_1 \otimes d_2) = -d_1 \otimes g_{0,1}, \quad g_{0,1} \cdot (d_2 \otimes d_1) = -g_{0,1} \otimes d_1.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus by replacing $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{0}}$ by $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{0}} - u_{\rm inn}$, where u is some combination of $d_1 \otimes d_2$, $d_2 \otimes d_1$ and $d_2 \otimes d_2$, one can assume

$$\eta^g_{d_1} = \eta^g_{d_2} = \eta^{d_1}_g = 0. \qquad (3.10)$$

Similarly, we can deduce $d \cdot \mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{0}}(h_{1,0}) = 0$, and thus $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{0}}(h_{1,0})$ can be written as (cf. statement after (3.5))

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{0}}(h_{1,0}) = \sum_{(m,n)\in\mathbf{Z}} \big(&\xi^{ef}_{m,n} e_{m,n} \otimes f_{1-m,-n} + \xi^{fe}_{m,n} f_{m,n} \otimes e_{1-m,-n} + \xi^{gg}_{m,n} g_{m,n} \otimes g_{1-m,-n}\\
&+ \xi^{gh}_{m,n} g_{m,n} \otimes h_{1-m,-n} + \xi^{hg}_{m,n} h_{m,n} \otimes g_{1-m,-n} + \xi^{hh}_{m,n} h_{m,n} \otimes h_{1-m,-n}\big)\\
&+ \xi^g_d g_{1,0} \otimes d + \xi^d_g d \otimes g_{1,0} + \xi^g_{d_1} g_{1,0} \otimes d_1 + \xi^{d_1}_g d_1 \otimes g_{1,0} + \xi^g_{d_2} g_{1,0} \otimes d_2 + \xi^{d_2}_g d_2 \otimes g_{1,0}
\end{aligned}$$

$$+\xi_d^h h_{1,0}\otimes d+\xi_h^d d\otimes h_{1,0}+\xi_{d_1}^h h_{1,0}\otimes d_1+\xi_h^{d_1} d_1\otimes h_{1,0}+\xi_{d_2}^h h_{1,0}\otimes d_2+\xi_h^{d_2} d_2\otimes h_{1,0}. \quad (3.11)$$

Since $h_{1,0}\cdot(d_1\otimes d_1) = -h_{1,0}\otimes d_1 - d_1\otimes h_{1,0}$, by replacing $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{0}}$ by $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{0}}+u_{\rm inn}$ with $u = \xi_h^{d_1} d_1\otimes d_1$, we can suppose $\xi_h^{d_1} = 0$. Applying $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{0}}$ to $[g_{0,1}, h_{1,0}] = 0$, we obtain $g_{0,1}\cdot\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{0}}(h_{1,0}) = h_{1,0}\cdot\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{0}}(g_{0,1})$, which implies

$$\eta_d^h = \eta_{d_1}^h = \eta_{d_2}^h = \eta_h^d = \eta_h^{d_1} = \eta_h^{d_2} = 0, \quad (3.12)$$

$$\xi_d^g = \xi_{d_2}^g = \xi_{d_1}^g = \xi_g^{d_1} = \xi_g^{d_2} = \xi_g^d = \xi_{d_2}^h = \xi_h^{d_2} = 0, \quad (3.13)$$

$$(1-q^{1-n})\eta_{m,n}^{gh} = (q^m-1)\xi_{m,n-1}^{gh}, \quad (q^{1-m}-1)\xi_{m,n}^{hg} = (1-q^n)\eta_{m-1,n}^{hg}, \quad (3.14)$$

$$(1-q^n)\eta_{m-1,n}^{hh} = (q^{1-n}-1)\eta_{m,n}^{hh}, \quad (q^m-1)\xi_{m,n-1}^{gg} = (1-q^{1-m})\xi_{m,n}^{gg}, \quad (3.15)$$

$$q^m\xi_{m,n-1}^{ef} - \xi_{m,n}^{ef} = \eta_{m,n}^{ef} - q^n\eta_{m-1,n}^{ef}, \quad q^{1-m}\xi_{m,n}^{fe} - \xi_{m,n-1}^{fe} = \eta_{m-1,n}^{fe} - q^{1-n}\eta_{m,n}^{fe}. \quad (3.16)$$

We observe the following crucial result.

Claim 1. *We have* $\eta_{m,n}^{hh} = \xi_{m,n}^{gg} = 0$ *for all* $(m,n)\in\mathbf{Z}$.

To prove the claim, consider the first equation in (3.15): If $n=0$, then $(1-q)\eta_{m,0}^{hh} = 0$, which implies $\eta_{m,0}^{hh} = 0$ for $m\in\mathbb{Z}$. If $n=1$, then $(1-q)\eta_{m-1,1}^{hh} = 0$, which implies $\eta_{m,1}^{hh} = 0$ for $m\in\mathbb{Z}$. Now assume $n\neq 0,1$. Let $\mathcal{S}_n = \{m \mid \eta_{m,n}^{hh}\neq 0\}$, which is a finite set. Assume $\mathcal{S}_n\neq\emptyset$. Set $m' = \min\mathcal{S}_n$. Using $\eta_{m-1,n}^{hh} = \frac{q^{1-n}-1}{1-q^n}\eta_{m,n}^{hh}$, we obtain $\eta_{m'-1,n}^{hh}\neq 0$, which means $m'-1\in\mathcal{S}_n$, a contradiction with the minimality of m'. Thus $\mathcal{S}_n = \emptyset$ and $\eta_{m,n}^{hh} = 0$ for $(m,n)\in\mathbf{Z}$. Analogously, consider the second equation in (3.15): If $m=0$, then $(1-q)\xi_{0,n}^{gg} = 0$, which implies $\xi_{0,n}^{gg} = 0$ for $n\in\mathbb{Z}$. If $m=1$, then $(1-q)\xi_{1,n-1}^{gg} = 0$, which implies $\xi_{1,n}^{gg} = 0$ for $n\in\mathbb{Z}$. If $m\neq 0,1$ is fixed, then $\mathcal{S}_m^g = \{n \mid \xi_{m,n}^{gg}\neq 0\}$ is a finite set. Assume $\mathcal{S}_m^g\neq\emptyset$ and set $n' = \min\mathcal{S}_m^g$. Using $\xi_{m,n-1}^{gg} = \frac{1-q^{1-m}}{q^m-1}\xi_{m,n}^{gg}$, we have $\xi_{m,n'-1}^{gg}\neq 0$, which implies $n'-1\in\mathcal{S}_m^g$, a contradiction with the minimality of n'. Thus $\mathcal{S}_m^g = \emptyset$ and $\xi_{m,n}^{gg} = 0$ for $(m,n)\in\mathbf{Z}$. The claim is proved.

Therefore, using (3.10), (3.12) and (3.13), one can rewrite (3.9) and (3.11) as

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{0}}(g_{0,1}) = \sum_{(m,n)\in\mathbf{Z}}\big(&\eta_{m,n}^{ef}e_{m,n}\otimes f_{-m,1-n} + \eta_{m,n}^{fe}f_{m,n}\otimes e_{-m,1-n} + \eta_{m,n}^{gg}g_{m,n}\otimes g_{-m,1-n}\\ &+\eta_{m,n}^{gh}g_{m,n}\otimes h_{-m,1-n} + \eta_{m,n}^{hg}h_{m,n}\otimes g_{-m,1-n}\big) + \eta_d^g g_{0,1}\otimes d + \eta_g^d d\otimes g_{0,1} + \eta_g^{d_2} d_2\otimes g_{0,1}, \quad (3.17)\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{0}}(h_{1,0}) = \sum_{(m,n)\in\mathbf{Z}}\big(&\xi_{m,n}^{ef}e_{m,n}\otimes f_{1-m,-n} + \xi_{m,n}^{fe}f_{m,n}\otimes e_{1-m,-n} + \xi_{m,n}^{gh}g_{m,n}\otimes h_{1-m,-n}\\ &+\xi_{m,n}^{hg}h_{m,n}\otimes g_{1-m,-n} + \xi_{m,n}^{hh}h_{m,n}\otimes h_{1-m,-n}\big) + \xi_d^h h_{1,0}\otimes d + \xi_{d_1}^h h_{1,0}\otimes d_1 + \xi_h^d d\otimes h_{1,0}, \quad (3.18)\end{aligned}$$

where the coefficients satisfy (3.14) and (3.16). Applying $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{0}}$ to $[e_{\mathbf{0}}, g_{0,1}] = -e_{0,1}$, we can write $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{0}}(e_{0,1})$ as

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{0}}(e_{0,1}) = \sum_{(m,n)\in\mathbf{Z}^*\setminus(0,1)} \big(& (\eta^{gg}_{m,n} - \eta^{ef}_{m,n} - \eta^{hg}_{m,n}) e_{m,n} \otimes g_{-m,1-n} + (\eta^{ef}_{m,n} + \eta^{gh}_{m,n}) e_{m,n} \otimes h_{-m,1-n} \\ & + (\eta^{gg}_{m,n} - \eta^{fe}_{m,n} - \eta^{gh}_{m,n}) g_{m,n} \otimes e_{-m,1-n} + (\eta^{fe}_{m,n} + \eta^{hg}_{m,n}) h_{m,n} \otimes e_{-m,1-n} \big) \\ & + (2\eta^d_g - \eta^{ef}_{\mathbf{0}}) e_{\mathbf{0}} \otimes g_{0,1} + (2\eta^g_d - \eta^{fe}_{\mathbf{0}}) g_{0,1} \otimes e_{\mathbf{0}} + \eta^{ef}_{\mathbf{0}} e_{\mathbf{0}} \otimes h_{0,1} + \eta^{fe}_{0,1} h_{0,1} \otimes e_{\mathbf{0}} \\ & + (\eta^g_d - \eta^{ef}_{0,1}) e_{0,1} \otimes d + (\eta^d_g - \eta^{fe}_{\mathbf{0}}) d \otimes e_{0,1} + \eta^{d_2}_g d_2 \otimes e_{0,1}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.19}$$

Using Lemma 3.7 and $[e_{\mathbf{0}}, e_{0,1}] = 0$, one deduces $e_{\mathbf{0}} \cdot \mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{0}}(e_{0,1}) = 0$. Thus

$$\eta^{ef}_{\mathbf{0}} + \eta^{fe}_{\mathbf{0}} = 2\eta^d_g, \qquad \eta^{fe}_{0,1} + \eta^{ef}_{0,1} = 2\eta^g_d, \tag{3.20}$$

$$\eta^{ef}_{m,n} + \eta^{fe}_{m,n} = \eta^{gg}_{m,n} - \eta^{hg}_{m,n} - \eta^{gh}_{m,n} \quad \text{if} \quad (m,n) \neq (0,0), (0,1). \tag{3.21}$$

Applying $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{0}}$ to $[e_{0,1}, f_{\mathbf{0}}] = g_{0,1} - h_{0,1}$, by Lemma 3.7, we obtain

$$\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{0}}(h_{0,1}) = \mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{0}}(g_{0,1}) + f_{\mathbf{0}} \cdot \mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{0}}(e_{0,1}).$$

Using (3.19), one can then write $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{0}}(h_{0,1})$ as

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{(m,n)\in\mathbf{Z}^*\setminus(0,1)} \big(& (\eta^{gg}_{m,n} - \eta^{hg}_{m,n} - \eta^{ef}_{m,n} - \eta^{gh}_{m,n}) e_{m,n} \otimes f_{-m,1-n} + (\eta^{gg}_{m,n} - \eta^{hg}_{m,n} - \eta^{fe}_{m,n} - \eta^{gh}_{m,n}) f_{m,n} \otimes e_{-m,1-n} \\ & + (\eta^{gg}_{m,n} - \eta^{gh}_{m,n} - \eta^{ef}_{m,n} - \eta^{fe}_{m,n}) g_{m,n} \otimes h_{-m,1-n} + (\eta^{gg}_{m,n} - \eta^{hg}_{m,n} - \eta^{ef}_{m,n} - \eta^{fe}_{m,n}) h_{m,n} \otimes g_{-m,1-n} \\ & + (\eta^{ef}_{m,n} + \eta^{fe}_{m,n} - \eta^{gg}_{m,n} + \eta^{gh}_{m,n} + \eta^{hg}_{m,n}) g_{m,n} \otimes g_{-m,1-n} + (\eta^{ef}_{m,n} + \eta^{fe}_{m,n} + \eta^{gh}_{m,n} + \eta^{hg}_{m,n}) h_{m,n} \otimes h_{-m,1-n} \big) \\ & + (2\eta^d_g - \eta^{ef}_{\mathbf{0}}) e_{\mathbf{0}} \otimes f_{0,1} + (2\eta^g_d - \eta^{ef}_{0,1}) e_{0,1} \otimes f_{\mathbf{0}} + (2\eta^d_g - \eta^{fe}_{\mathbf{0}}) f_{\mathbf{0}} \otimes e_{0,1} + (2\eta^g_d - \eta^{ef}_{0,1}) f_{0,1} \otimes e_{\mathbf{0}} \\ & + (\eta^{ef}_{\mathbf{0}} + \eta^{fe}_{\mathbf{0}} - 2\eta^d_g) d \otimes g_{0,1} + (\eta^{fe}_{0,1} + \eta^{ef}_{0,1} - 2\eta^g_d) g_{0,1} \otimes d + (\eta^d_g - \eta^{ef}_{\mathbf{0}} - \eta^{fe}_{\mathbf{0}}) d \otimes h_{0,1} \\ & + (\eta^g_d - \eta^{ef}_{0,1} - \eta^{fe}_{0,1}) h_{0,1} \otimes d + \eta^{d_2}_g d_2 \otimes h_{0,1}. \end{aligned}$$

By (3.20) and (3.21), one can rewrite $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{0}}(h_{0,1})$ as

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{0}}(h_{0,1}) = & \sum_{(m,n)\in\mathbf{Z}} (\eta^{fe}_{m,n} e_{m,n} \otimes f_{-m,1-n} + \eta^{ef}_{m,n} f_{m,n} \otimes e_{-m,1-n}) - \eta^d_g d \otimes h_{0,1} - \eta^g_d h_{0,1} \otimes d \\ & + \sum_{(m,n)\in\mathbf{Z}^*\setminus(0,1)} (\eta^{hg}_{m,n} g_{m,n} \otimes h_{-m,1-n} + \eta^{gh}_{m,n} h_{m,n} \otimes g_{-m,1-n} + \eta^{gg}_{m,n} h_{m,n} \otimes h_{-m,1-n}) + \eta^{d_2}_g d_2 \otimes h_{0,1}. \end{aligned}$$

Applying $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{0}}$ to $[g_{0,1}, h_{0,1}] = 0$ and using (3.17), we have

$$\eta^{d_2}_g = 0, \quad (q^m - 1)\eta^{hg}_{m,n-1} = (q^{-m} - 1)\eta^{gh}_{m,n}, \tag{3.22}$$

$$q^m \eta^{fe}_{m,n-1} - \eta^{fe}_{m,n} = q^{-m} \eta^{ef}_{m,n} - \eta^{ef}_{m,n-1}. \tag{3.23}$$

Applying $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{0}}$ to $[e_{\mathbf{0}}, h_{1,0}] = e_{1,0}$, then

$$\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{0}}(e_{1,0}) = \sum_{(m,n)\in\mathbf{Z}^*\setminus(1,0)} \big((\xi^{ef}_{m,n} + \xi^{hg}_{m,n}) e_{m,n} \otimes g_{1-m,-n} + (\xi^{hh}_{m,n} - \xi^{ef}_{m,n} - \xi^{gh}_{m,n}) e_{m,n} \otimes h_{1-m,-n}$$

$$+(\xi^{fe}_{m,n}+\xi^{gh}_{m,n})g_{m,n}\otimes e_{1-m,-n}+(\xi^{hh}_{m,n}-\xi^{fe}_{m,n}-\xi^{hg}_{m,n})h_{m,n}\otimes e_{1-m,-n}\Big)$$
$$-\xi^{ef}_{\mathbf{0}}e_{\mathbf{0}}\otimes g_{1,0}+\xi^{fe}_{1,0}g_{1,0}\otimes e_{\mathbf{0}}-(\xi^{ef}_{\mathbf{0}}+2\xi^{d}_{h})e_{\mathbf{0}}\otimes h_{1,0}-(\xi^{fe}_{1,0}+2\xi^{h}_{d})h_{1,0}\otimes e_{\mathbf{0}}$$
$$+(\xi^{h}_{d}+\xi^{ef}_{1,0})e_{1,0}\otimes d+(\xi^{d}_{h}+\xi^{fe}_{\mathbf{0}})d\otimes e_{1,0}+\xi^{h}_{d_1}e_{1,0}\otimes d_1. \tag{3.24}$$

Using $[e_{\mathbf{0}},e_{1,0}]=0$ and Lemma 3.7, one has $e_{\mathbf{0}}\cdot\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{0}}(e_{1,0})=0$. Thus

$$\xi^{ef}_{\mathbf{0}}+\xi^{fe}_{\mathbf{0}}+2\xi^{d}_{h}=0,\quad \xi^{fe}_{1,0}+\xi^{ef}_{1,0}+2\xi^{h}_{d}=0, \tag{3.25}$$
$$\xi^{ef}_{m,n}+\xi^{fe}_{m,n}=\xi^{hh}_{m,n}-\xi^{hg}_{m,n}-\xi^{gh}_{m,n}\ \text{ for }\ (m,n)\neq(0,0),(1,0). \tag{3.26}$$

Applying $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{0}}$ to $[e_{1,0},f_{\mathbf{0}}]=g_{1,0}-h_{1,0}$ and using Lemma 3.7, there is no wonder that $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{0}}(g_{1,0})=\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{0}}(h_{1,0})-f_{\mathbf{0}}\cdot\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{0}}(e_{1,0})$. Then by (3.24), one can write $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{0}}(g_{1,0})$ as

$$\sum_{(m,n)\in\mathbf{Z}^*\backslash(1,0)}\Big((\xi^{hh}_{m,n}-\xi^{hg}_{m,n}-\xi^{ef}_{m,n}-\xi^{gh}_{m,n})e_{m,n}\otimes f_{1-m,-n}+(\xi^{hh}_{m,n}-\xi^{hg}_{m,n}-\xi^{fe}_{m,n}-\xi^{gh}_{m,n})f_{m,n}\otimes e_{1-m,-n}$$
$$+(\xi^{ef}_{m,n}+\xi^{fe}_{m,n}+\xi^{hg}_{m,n}+\xi^{gh}_{m,n})g_{m,n}\otimes g_{1-m,-n}+\ (\xi^{hh}_{m,n}-\xi^{gh}_{m,n}-\xi^{ef}_{m,n}-\xi^{fe}_{m,n})g_{m,n}\otimes h_{1-m,-n}$$
$$+(\xi^{hh}_{m,n}-\xi^{hg}_{m,n}-\xi^{ef}_{m,n}-\xi^{fe}_{m,n})h_{m,n}\otimes g_{1-m,-n}+(\xi^{ef}_{m,n}+\xi^{fe}_{m,n}+\xi^{gh}_{m,n}+\xi^{hg}_{m,n})h_{m,n}\otimes h_{1-m,-n}\Big)$$
$$-(2\xi^{d}_{h}+\xi^{ef}_{\mathbf{0}})e_{\mathbf{0}}\otimes f_{1,0}-(2\xi^{h}_{d}+\xi^{ef}_{1,0})e_{1,0}\otimes f_{\mathbf{0}}-(2\xi^{d}_{h}+\xi^{fe}_{\mathbf{0}})f_{\mathbf{0}}\otimes e_{1,0}-(2\xi^{h}_{d}+\xi^{ef}_{1,0})f_{1,0}\otimes e_{\mathbf{0}}$$
$$+(\xi^{ef}_{\mathbf{0}}+\xi^{fe}_{\mathbf{0}}-2\xi^{d}_{h})d\otimes h_{1,0}+(\xi^{fe}_{1,0}+\xi^{ef}_{1,0}-2\xi^{h}_{d})h_{1,0}\otimes d+(\xi^{d}_{h}-\xi^{ef}_{\mathbf{0}}-\xi^{fe}_{\mathbf{0}})d\otimes g_{1,0}$$
$$+(\xi^{h}_{d}-\xi^{ef}_{1,0}-\xi^{fe}_{1,0})g_{1,0}\otimes d+\xi^{h}_{d_1}g_{1,0}\otimes d_1.$$

By (3.25) and (3.26), we see that $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{0}}(g_{1,0})+\xi^{d}_{h}d\otimes g_{1,0}+\xi^{h}_{d}g_{1,0}\otimes d-\xi^{h}_{d_1}g_{1,0}\otimes d_1$ is equal to

$$\sum_{(m,n)\in\mathbf{Z}}(\xi^{fe}_{m,n}e_{m,n}\otimes f_{1-m,-n}+\xi^{ef}_{m,n}f_{m,n}\otimes e_{1-m,-n})$$
$$+\sum_{(m,n)\in\mathbf{Z}^*\backslash(1,0)}(\xi^{hh}_{m,n}g_{m,n}\otimes g_{1-m,-n}+\xi^{hg}_{m,n}g_{m,n}\otimes h_{1-m,-n}+\xi^{gh}_{m,n}h_{m,n}\otimes g_{1-m,-n}). \tag{3.27}$$

Applying $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{0}}$ to $[g_{1,0},h_{1,0}]=0$, by (3.18) and (3.27), we have

$$\xi^{d_1}_{h}=0,\qquad \xi^{hg}_{m,n}=-q^n\xi^{gh}_{m-1,n}, \tag{3.28}$$
$$q^n\xi^{fe}_{m-1,n}-\xi^{fe}_{m,n}=q^{-n}\xi^{ef}_{m,n}-\xi^{ef}_{m-1,n}. \tag{3.29}$$

We now consider the second equation of (3.22). We observe an important fact that, as stated in the introduction, in order to be able to determine $\mathcal{D}_0$ (or in order to simplify some systems of equations such as (3.22)), some extra inner derivations must be subtracted from $\mathcal{D}_0$. We shall do this in two steps (cf. (3.30) and (3.31)). Firstly, we take

$$u=\sum_{m\neq0}\sum_{n}\frac{\eta^{gh}_{m,n+1}}{q^m-1}(g_{m,n}+h_{m,n})\otimes(g_{-m,-n}+h_{-m,-n}). \tag{3.30}$$

Then we have by using (3.22) and relations (2.1),

$$\begin{aligned} g_{0,1}\cdot u = & \sum_{m\neq 0}\sum_{n}\frac{\eta^{gh}_{m,n+1}}{q^m-1}\big((q^m-1)g_{m,1+n}\otimes(g_{-m,-n}+h_{-m,-n}) \\ & \qquad +(g_{m,n}+h_{m,n})\otimes(q^{-m}-1)g_{-m,1-n}\big) \\ = & \sum_{m\neq 0}\sum_{n}(\eta^{gh}_{m,n+1}g_{m,1+n}\otimes h_{-m,-n}-q^m\eta^{gh}_{m,n+1}h_{m,n}\otimes g_{-m,1-n} \\ & \qquad +\eta^{gh}_{m,n+1}g_{m,1+n}\otimes g_{-m,-n}-q^{-m}\eta^{gh}_{m,n+1}g_{m,n+1}\otimes g_{-m,1-n}) \\ = & \sum_{m\neq 0}\sum_{n}(\eta^{gh}_{m,n+1}g_{m,1+n}\otimes h_{-m,-n}+\eta^{hg}_{m,n}h_{m,n}\otimes g_{-m,1-n} \\ & \qquad +\eta^{gh}_{m,n+1}g_{m,1+n}\otimes g_{-m,-n}+q^{-m}\eta^{gh}_{m,n+1}g_{m,n+1}\otimes g_{-m,1-n}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by replacing $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{0}}$ by $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{0}}-u_{\rm inn}$, and by (3.17), we obtain $\eta^{hg}_{m,n}=\eta^{gh}_{m,n}=0$ for $m\in\mathbb{Z}^*$, $n\in\mathbb{Z}$. By (3.14), $\eta^{gh}_{0,n}=\eta^{hg}_{0,n}=0$ for $n\neq 0,1$. Hence, $\eta^{gh}_{m,n}=\eta^{hg}_{m,n}=0$ for $(m,n)\neq(0,0),(0,1)$. By (3.14), $\xi^{gh}_{m,n}=0$ for $m\in\mathbb{Z}^*$, $n\in\mathbb{Z}$, and $\xi^{hg}_{m,n}=0$ for $m,n\in\mathbb{Z}$ with $m\neq 1$.

Secondly, introduce

$$v=\sum_{n\neq 0}\frac{\xi^{gh}_{0,n}}{1-q^n}(g_{0,n}+h_{0,n})\otimes(g_{0,-n}+h_{0,-n}). \tag{3.31}$$

Using (3.28) and relations (2.1), we have

$$g_{1,0}\cdot v=0, \tag{3.32}$$

$$\begin{aligned} h_{1,0}\cdot v = & \sum_{n\neq 0}\frac{\xi^{gh}_{0,n}}{1-q^n}\big((1-q^n)h_{1,n}\otimes(g_{0,-n}+h_{0,-n})+(g_{0,n}+h_{0,n})\otimes(1-q^n)h_{1,-n}\big) \\ = & \sum_{n\neq 0}(\xi^{hg}_{1,n}h_{1,n}\otimes g_{0,-n}-q^{-n}\xi^{hg}_{1,n}g_{0,n}\otimes h_{1,-n}+\xi^{hg}_{1,n}h_{1,n}\otimes h_{0,-n}+q^{-n}\xi^{hg}_{1,n}h_{0,n}\otimes h_{1,-n}) \\ = & \sum_{n\neq 0}(\xi^{hg}_{1,n}h_{1,n}\otimes g_{0,-n}+\xi^{gh}_{0,n}g_{0,n}\otimes h_{1,-n}+\xi^{hh}_{1,n}h_{1,n}\otimes h_{0,-n}+\xi^{hh}_{0,n}h_{0,n}\otimes h_{1,-n}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, if we replace $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{0}}$ further by $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{0}}-v_{\rm inn}$ (note from (3.32) that the replacement does not affect $\mathcal{D}_0(g_{1,0})$), we have $\xi^{hg}_{1,n}=\xi^{gh}_{0,n}=0$ for $n\in\mathbb{Z}^*$. Thus $\eta^{gh}_{m,n}=\eta^{hg}_{m,n}=\xi^{gh}_{m,n}=\xi^{hg}_{m,n}=0$ for $(m,n)\neq(0,0),(1,0)$.

Another crucial result we observed is the following.

Claim 2. *We have* $\eta^{gg}_{m,n}=\xi^{hh}_{m,n}=0$ *for* $(m,n)\neq(0,0),(1,0)$.

To prove the claim, first we consider elements $\eta^{ef}_{m',n}$ and set $\mathcal{S}_{m'}=\{n\,|\,\eta^{ef}_{m',n}\neq 0\}$ for $m'\in\mathbb{Z}$. From (3.20) and (3.21), we can see that the claim holds if $\mathcal{S}_{m'}=\emptyset$ for all $m'\in\mathbb{Z}$. Thus assume $\mathcal{S}_{m'}\neq\emptyset$ for some fixed $m'\in\mathbb{Z}$ and let $n_0=\min\mathcal{S}_{m'}$. For any $n\in\mathbb{Z}$, if $n<n_0$, then (3.23) gives $q^{m'}\eta^{fe}_{m',n-1}=\eta^{fe}_{m',n}$, which implies $\eta^{fe}_{m',n}=0$ due to the fact that there are

only finite many nonzero $\eta^{fe}_{m,n}$'s. If $n = n_0$, then $\eta^{fe}_{m',n_0} = -q^{-m'}\eta^{ef}_{m',n_0}$. By induction on n, we have $q^{m'}\eta^{fe}_{m',n} = -\eta^{ef}_{m',n}$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} &q^{m}\eta^{fe}_{m,n} = -\eta^{ef}_{m,n} && \text{for } \ (m,n) \in \mathbf{Z}, \\ &\eta^{gg}_{m,n} = (q^{m}-1)\eta^{ef}_{m,n} && \text{for } \ (m,n) \neq (0,0),(0,1). \end{aligned} \tag{3.33}$$

Using (3.28) and (3.29), the following can be obtained by the similar methods:

$$\begin{aligned} &\xi^{ef}_{m,n} = -q^{n}\xi^{fe}_{m,n} && \text{for all } (m,n) \in \mathbf{Z}, \\ &\xi^{hh}_{m,n} = (1-q^{n})\xi^{fe}_{m,n} && \text{for } (m,n) \neq (0,0),(1,0). \end{aligned} \tag{3.34}$$

Then (3.19) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{0}}(e_{0,1}) = &\sum_{(m,n)\in\mathbf{Z}\setminus(0,1)} (\eta^{fe}_{m,n} e_{m,n} \otimes g_{-m,1-n} + \eta^{ef}_{m,n} e_{m,n} \otimes h_{-m,1-n}) \\ &+ \sum_{(m,n)\in\mathbf{Z}^*} (\eta^{ef}_{m,n} g_{m,n} \otimes e_{-m,1-n} + \eta^{fe}_{m,n} h_{m,n} \otimes e_{-m,1-n}) \\ &+ (\eta^{g}_{d} - \eta^{ef}_{0,1}) e_{0,1} \otimes d + (\eta^{d}_{g} - \eta^{fe}_{\mathbf{0}}) d \otimes e_{0,1}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.35}$$

The identity $[\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{0}}(f_{0,0}), \mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{0}}(g_{0,1})] = \mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{0}}(f_{0,1})$ gives

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{0}}(f_{0,1}) = &\sum_{(m,n)\in\mathbf{Z}\setminus(0,1)} (\eta^{ef}_{m,n} f_{m,n} \otimes g_{-m,1-n} + \eta^{fe}_{m,n} f_{m,n} \otimes h_{-m,1-n}) \\ &+ \sum_{(m,n)\in\mathbf{Z}^*} (\eta^{fe}_{m,n} g_{m,n} \otimes f_{-m,1-n} + \eta^{ef}_{m,n} h_{m,n} \otimes f_{-m,1-n}) \\ &+ (\eta^{g}_{d} - \eta^{fe}_{0,1}) f_{0,1} \otimes d + (\eta^{d}_{g} - \eta^{ef}_{\mathbf{0}}) d \otimes f_{0,1}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.36}$$

Applying $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{0}}$ to $[g_{0,1}, [e_{0,1}, f_{0,1}]] = 0$, one sees that

$$g_{0,1} \cdot \big(e_{0,1} \cdot \mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{0}}(f_{0,1}) - f_{0,1} \cdot \mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{0}}(e_{0,1})\big) = (g_{0,2} - h_{0,2}) \cdot \mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{0}}(g_{0,1}).$$

By (3.17), (3.35) and (3.36), and by comparing the coefficients of $g_{m,n} \otimes g_{-m,3-n}$, we obtain

$$q^{-2m}\eta^{gg}_{m,n} = q^{m}\eta^{gg}_{m,n-2} + (q^{-m}-1)\eta^{gg}_{m,n-1}. \tag{3.37}$$

For any fixed m', the set $\mathcal{S}^{g}_{m'} = \{n \mid \eta^{gg}_{m',n} \neq 0\}$ is finite. Assume $\mathcal{S}^{g}_{m'} \neq \emptyset$ and set $n^{g}_{0} = \min \mathcal{S}^{g}_{m'}$. Using (3.37) and arguing as above, one sees that $\eta^{gg}_{m,n} = 0$ for $(m,n) \neq (0,0),(0,1)$.

Similarly, (3.24) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{0}}(e_{1,0}) = &\sum_{(m,n)\in\mathbf{Z}\setminus(1,0)} (\xi^{fe}_{m,n} e_{m,n} \otimes h_{1-m,-n} + \xi^{ef}_{m,n} e_{m,n} \otimes g_{1-m,-n}) \\ &+ \sum_{(m,n)\in\mathbf{Z}^*} (\xi^{ef}_{m,n} h_{m,n} \otimes e_{1-m,-n} + \xi^{fe}_{m,n} g_{m,n} \otimes e_{1-m,-n}) \end{aligned}$$

$$+(\xi^h_d-\xi^{ef}_{1,0})e_{1,0}\otimes d+(\xi^d_h-\xi^{fe}_{\mathbf{0}})d\otimes e_{1,0}. \tag{3.38}$$

We can write $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{0}}(f_{1,0})$ as follows due to the identity $[\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{0}}(h_{1,0}),\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{0}}(f_{0,0})]=\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{0}}(f_{1,0})$:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{0}}(f_{1,0})= &\sum_{(m,n)\in\mathbf{Z}\setminus(1,0)}(\xi^{ef}_{m,n}f_{m,n}\otimes h_{1-m,-n}+\xi^{fe}_{m,n}f_{m,n}\otimes g_{1-m,-n})\\ &+\sum_{(m,n)\in\mathbf{Z}^*}(\xi^{fe}_{m,n}h_{m,n}\otimes f_{1-m,-n}+\xi^{ef}_{m,n}g_{m,n}\otimes f_{1-m,-n})\\ &+(\xi^h_d-\xi^{fe}_{1,0})f_{1,0}\otimes d+(\xi^d_h-\xi^{ef}_{\mathbf{0}})d\otimes f_{0,1}. \end{aligned}\tag{3.39}$$

Applying $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{0}}$ to $[h_{1,0},[e_{1,0},f_{1,0}]]=0$, we have

$$h_{1,0}\cdot(e_{1,0}\cdot\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{0}}(f_{1,0})-f_{1,0}\cdot\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{0}}(e_{1,0}))=(g_{2,0}-h_{2,0})\cdot\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{0}}(h_{1,0}).$$

Combining (3.18), (3.38) and (3.39), and comparing the coefficients of $h_{m,n}\otimes h_{3-m,-n}$, one can deduce that

$$q^{-2n}\xi^{hh}_{m,n}=q^n\xi^{hh}_{m-2,n}+(q^{-n}-1)\xi^{hh}_{m-1,n}. \tag{3.40}$$

For any fixed n', as before, the set $\mathcal{S}^h_{n'}=\{m\,|\,\xi^{hh}_{m,n'}\neq 0\}$ is finite. Assume $\mathcal{S}^h_{n'}\neq\emptyset$ and set $m^h_0=\min\mathcal{S}^h_{n'}$. Using (3.40) and arguing as above, we can obtain $\xi^{hh}_{m,n}=0$ for $(m,n)\neq(0,0),(1,0)$. This proves Claim 2.

Take $m=0$ and $n=0$ respectively in the first equation of (3.16), then

$$\begin{aligned}&\xi^{ef}_{0,n-1}-\xi^{ef}_{0,n}=\eta^{ef}_{0,n}-q^n\eta^{ef}_{-1,n}=\eta^{ef}_{0,n},\\ &q^m\xi^{ef}_{m,-1}-\xi^{ef}_{m,0}=-\eta^{ef}_{m-1,0}+\eta^{ef}_{m,0},\end{aligned}$$

which together with (3.33) and (3.34) imply $\eta^{ef}_{m,n}=\eta^{fe}_{m,n}=0$ for $(m,n)\neq(0,0),(0,1)$ and $\xi^{ef}_{m,n}=\xi^{fe}_{m,n}=0$ for $(m,n)\neq(0,0),(1,0)$. Furthermore, we also obtain $\xi^{ef}_{\mathbf{0}}=-\eta^{ef}_{\mathbf{0}}=\eta^{ef}_{0,1}=-\xi^{ef}_{1,0}=-\xi^{fe}_{\mathbf{0}}=\xi^{fe}_{1,0}=\eta^{fe}_{\mathbf{0}}=-\eta^{fe}_{0,1}$. Then (3.25) and (3.20) imply $\eta^d_g=\eta^g_d=\xi^h_d=\xi^d_h=0$.

By now, (3.17) and (3.18) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{0}}(g_{0,1})=&\ \eta^{ef}_{\mathbf{0}}(e_{\mathbf{0}}\otimes f_{0,1}-e_{0,1}\otimes f_{\mathbf{0}}-f_{\mathbf{0}}\otimes e_{0,1}+f_{0,1}\otimes e_{\mathbf{0}}),\\ \mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{0}}(h_{1,0})=&\ \eta^{ef}_{\mathbf{0}}(-e_{\mathbf{0}}\otimes f_{1,0}+e_{1,0}\otimes f_{\mathbf{0}}+f_{\mathbf{0}}\otimes e_{1,0}-f_{1,0}\otimes e_{\mathbf{0}}).\end{aligned}$$

Replacing $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{0}}$ by $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{0}}-u_{\rm inn}$, where $u=-\eta^{ef}_{\mathbf{0}}e_{\mathbf{0}}\otimes f_{\mathbf{0}}+f_{\mathbf{0}}\otimes e_{\mathbf{0}}+\frac{1}{2}d\otimes d$, we also have $\eta^{e,f}_{\mathbf{0}}=0$ by using

$$\begin{aligned}g_{0,1}\cdot u&=e_{0,1}\otimes f_{\mathbf{0}}-e_{\mathbf{0}}\otimes f_{0,1}-f_{0,1}\otimes e_{\mathbf{0}}+f_{\mathbf{0}}\otimes e_{0,1},\\ h_{1,0}\cdot u&=-e_{1,0}\otimes f_{\mathbf{0}}+e_{\mathbf{0}}\otimes f_{1,0}+f_{1,0}\otimes e_{\mathbf{0}}-f_{\mathbf{0}}\otimes e_{1,0}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{0}}(g_{0,1}) = \mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{0}}(h_{1,0}) = 0$. By the similar method, one can deduce that $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{0}}(g_{0,n}) = \mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{0}}(h_{n,0}) = 0$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Now using Lemma 3.7 and the fact that $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{m,n}$ for $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ can be generated by the set $\{d,\ e_{0,0},\ f_{0,0},\ d_1,\ d_2,\ g_{0,n},\ h_{n,0}\}$, we complete the proof of Lemma 3.9. $\square$

Lemma 3.10. *Replacing $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{0}}$ by $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{0}} - u_{\rm inn}$ for some $u \in \mathcal{V}_{\mathbf{0}}$, one can suppose $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{0}}(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{m,n}) = 0$ for $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}^-$.*

Proof. Since $d \cdot \mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{0}}(g_{0,-1}) = 0$, we can write $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{0}}(g_{0,-1})$ as (cf. statement after (3.5))

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{(m,n)\in\mathbf{Z}} \big(\zeta^{ef}_{m,n} e_{m,n} \otimes f_{-m,-1-n} + \zeta^{fe}_{m,n} f_{m,n} \otimes e_{-m,-1-n} + \zeta^{gg}_{m,n} g_{m,n} \otimes g_{-m,-1-n} \\
&\qquad + \zeta^{gh}_{m,n} g_{m,n} \otimes h_{-m,-1-n} + \zeta^{hg}_{m,n} h_{m,n} \otimes g_{-m,-1-n} + \zeta^{hh}_{m,n} h_{m,n} \otimes h_{-m,-1-n}\big) \\
&+\zeta^{g}_{d} g_{0,-1} \otimes d + \zeta^{d}_{g} d \otimes g_{0,-1} + \zeta^{g}_{d_1} g_{0,-1} \otimes d_1 + \zeta^{d_1}_{g} d_1 \otimes g_{0,-1} + \zeta^{g}_{d_2} g_{0,-1} \otimes d_2 + \zeta^{d_2}_{g} d_2 \otimes g_{0,-1} \\
&+\zeta^{h}_{d} h_{0,-1} \otimes d + \zeta^{d}_{h} d \otimes h_{0,-1} + \zeta^{h}_{d_1} h_{0,-1} \otimes d_1 + \zeta^{d_1}_{h} d_1 \otimes h_{0,-1} + \zeta^{h}_{d_2} h_{0,-1} \otimes d_2 + \zeta^{d_2}_{h} d_2 \otimes h_{0,-1}. \qquad (3.41)
\end{aligned}$$

Applying $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{0}}$ to $[g_{0,1}, g_{0,-1}] = 0$, by Lemma 3.9, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\zeta^{g}_{d_2} = \zeta^{h}_{d_2} = \zeta^{d_2}_{g} = \zeta^{d_2}_{h} = q^m \zeta^{ef}_{m,n-1} - \zeta^{ef}_{m,n} = q^{-m} \zeta^{fe}_{m,n} - \zeta^{fe}_{m,n-1} = 0, \\
&(q^m - 1)\zeta^{gg}_{m,n-1} + (q^{-m} - 1)\zeta^{gg}_{m,n} = (q^m - 1)\zeta^{gh}_{m,n-1} = (q^{-m} - 1)\zeta^{hg}_{m,n} = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Then we can deduce $\zeta^{ef}_{m,n} = \zeta^{fe}_{m,n} = 0$ for $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\zeta^{gg}_{m,n} = \zeta^{gh}_{m,n} = \zeta^{hg}_{m,n} = 0$ for $m \neq 0$.

Applying $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{0}}$ to $[g_{1,1}, g_{0,-1}] = (1 - q^{-1}) g_{1,0}$ and using Lemma 3.9, we get the identities

$$\begin{aligned}
&(1 - q^{n-1})\zeta^{gg}_{0,n-1} = (1 - q^n)\zeta^{g,h}_{0,n} = (1 - q^{-n-1})\zeta^{hg}_{0,n} = 0, \\
&(1 - q^{-n-1})\zeta^{gg}_{0,n} = \zeta^{g}_{d} = \zeta^{g}_{d_1} = \zeta^{h}_{d_1} = \zeta^{d}_{g} = \zeta^{d_1}_{g} = \zeta^{d_1}_{h} = 0,
\end{aligned}$$

which force $\zeta^{gg}_{0,n} = \zeta^{gh}_{0,n} = \zeta^{hg}_{0,n} = 0$ for $n \neq 0, -1$. Then we can rewrite $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{0}}(g_{0,-1})$ as

$$\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{0}}(g_{0,-1}) = \sum_{(m,n)\in\mathbf{Z}^*\setminus(0,-1)} \zeta^{hh}_{m,n} h_{m,n} \otimes h_{-m,-1-n} + \zeta^{h}_{d} h_{0,-1} \otimes d + \zeta^{d}_{h} d \otimes h_{0,-1}. \qquad (3.42)$$

Applying $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{0}}$ to $[h_{1,1}, g_{0,-1}] = 0$ and using (3.42), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&(1 - q^{-1})\zeta^{h}_{d} = (1 - q^{-1})\zeta^{d}_{h} = 0, \\
&(q^m - q^n)\zeta^{hh}_{m-1,n-1} + (q^{-m} - q^{-1-n})\zeta^{hh}_{m,n} = 0. \qquad (3.43)
\end{aligned}$$

Then $\zeta^{h}_{d} = \zeta^{d}_{h} = 0$. Take $m = n$ and $m = 1 + n$ respectively in (3.43), one has $\zeta^{hh}_{m,m} = 0$ and $\zeta^{hh}_{m,m-1} = 0$ for $m \in \mathbb{Z}^*$. If $m \neq n,\ 1 + n$, then $\zeta^{hh}_{m-1,n-1} = \frac{q^{-1-n} - q^{-m}}{q^m - q^n} \zeta^{hh}_{m,n}$, which forces $\zeta^{hh}_{m,n} = 0$ because of the fact that there are only finite many nonzero $\zeta^{hh}_{m,n}$'s. Hence

$\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{0}}(g_{0,-1}) = 0$. Using similar arguments, we also obtain $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{0}}(h_{-1,0}) = 0$. By the similar method, we also have $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{0}}(g_{0,-n}) = \mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{0}}(h_{-n,0}) = 0$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Using Lemma 3.7 and the fact that $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{m,n}$ for $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}^-$ can be generated by the set $\{d,\, e_{0,0},\, f_{0,0},\, d_1,\, d_2,\, g_{0,-n},\, h_{-n,0}\}$, we obtain the lemma. $\square$

Lemma 3.11. *For any* $\mathcal{D} \in \mathrm{Der}(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}, \mathcal{V})$, (3.4) *is a finite sum.*

Proof. Since $\mathcal{D} = \sum_{\mathbf{k}\in\mathbf{Z}} \mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{k}}$, by the Lemmas 3.7, 3.9 and 3.10, one can suppose $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{m}} = (v_{\mathbf{m}})_{\mathrm{inn}}$ for some $v_{\mathbf{m}} \in \mathcal{V}_{\mathbf{m}}$ and $\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^2$. If $\Gamma' = \{\mathbf{m} \in \mathbf{Z}^* \,|\, v_{\mathbf{m}} \neq 0\}$ is an infinite set, by linear algebra, there exists $\rho \in \mathtt{T}$ (cf. notation in the proof of Lemma 3.5) such that $\rho(\mathbf{m}) \neq 0$ for all $\mathbf{m} \in \Gamma'$. Then $\mathcal{D}(\rho) = \sum_{\mathbf{m}\in\Gamma'} \rho(\mathbf{m}) v_{\mathbf{m}}$ is an infinite sum, which is not an element in $\mathcal{V}$. This contradicts to the fact that $\mathcal{D} \in \mathrm{Der}(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}, \mathcal{V})$. The result follows. $\square$

By now the proof of Proposition 3.4 is completed.

Lemma 3.12. *Suppose* $v \in \mathcal{V}$ *such that* $x \cdot v \in \mathrm{Im}(1-\tau)$ *for all* $x \in \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}$. *Then* $v \in \mathrm{Im}(1-\tau)$.

Proof. First note that $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}} \cdot \mathrm{Im}(1-\tau) \subset \mathrm{Im}(1-\tau)$. We shall prove that after a number of steps, by replacing v by $v - u$ for some $u \in \mathrm{Im}(1-\tau)$, the zero element is obtained and thus proving that $v \in \mathrm{Im}(1-\tau)$. Write $v = \sum_{\mathbf{m}\in\mathbb{Z}^2} v_{\mathbf{m}}$. Obviously,

$$v \in \mathrm{Im}(1-\tau) \iff v_{\mathbf{m}} \in \mathrm{Im}(1-\tau), \quad \forall\ \mathbf{m} \in \mathbf{Z}. \tag{3.44}$$

For any $\mathbf{m} \in \mathbf{Z}^*$, choose $\rho \in \mathtt{T}$ such that $\rho(\mathbf{m}) \neq 0$. Then

$$\rho \cdot v = \sum_{\mathbf{m}\in\mathbf{Z}} \rho(\mathbf{m}) v_{\mathbf{m}} \in \mathrm{Im}(1-\tau),$$

which together with (3.44) gives $v_{\mathbf{m}} \in \mathrm{Im}(1-\tau)$. Thus by replacing v by $v - \sum_{\mathbf{m}\neq\mathbf{0}} v_{\mathbf{m}}$, we can suppose $v = v_{\mathbf{0}} \in \mathcal{V}_{\mathbf{0}}$. Hence v can be rewritten as (cf. statement after (3.5))

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{\mathbf{m}}\big(\gamma_{\mathbf{m}}^{ee} e_{\mathbf{m}}\otimes e_{-\mathbf{m}} + \gamma_{\mathbf{m}}^{ef} e_{\mathbf{m}}\otimes f_{-\mathbf{m}} + \gamma_{\mathbf{m}}^{fe} f_{\mathbf{m}}\otimes e_{-\mathbf{m}} + \gamma_{\mathbf{m}}^{ff} f_{\mathbf{m}}\otimes f_{-\mathbf{m}}\big) + \sum_{\mathbf{k}}\big(\gamma_{\mathbf{k}}^{eg} e_{\mathbf{k}}\otimes g_{-\mathbf{k}}\\
&+\gamma_{\mathbf{k}}^{eh} e_{\mathbf{k}}\otimes h_{-\mathbf{k}} + \gamma_{\mathbf{k}}^{he} h_{\mathbf{k}}\otimes e_{-\mathbf{k}} + \gamma_{\mathbf{k}}^{ge} g_{\mathbf{k}}\otimes e_{-\mathbf{k}} + \gamma_{\mathbf{k}}^{fg} f_{\mathbf{k}}\otimes g_{-\mathbf{k}} + \gamma_{\mathbf{k}}^{fh} f_{\mathbf{k}}\otimes h_{-\mathbf{k}} + \gamma_{\mathbf{k}}^{gf} g_{\mathbf{k}}\otimes f_{-\mathbf{k}}\\
&+\gamma_{\mathbf{k}}^{hf} h_{\mathbf{k}}\otimes f_{-\mathbf{k}} + \gamma_{\mathbf{k}}^{gg} g_{\mathbf{k}}\otimes g_{-\mathbf{k}} + \gamma_{\mathbf{k}}^{gh} g_{\mathbf{k}}\otimes h_{-\mathbf{k}} + \gamma_{\mathbf{k}}^{hg} h_{\mathbf{k}}\otimes g_{-\mathbf{k}} + \gamma_{\mathbf{k}}^{hh} h_{\mathbf{k}}\otimes h_{-\mathbf{k}}\big)\\
&+\gamma_{d}^{e} e_{\mathbf{0}}\otimes d + \gamma_{d_1}^{e} e_{\mathbf{0}}\otimes d_1 + \gamma_{d_2}^{e} e_{\mathbf{0}}\otimes d_2 + \gamma_{d}^{f} f_{\mathbf{0}}\otimes d + \gamma_{d_1}^{f} f_{\mathbf{0}}\otimes d_1 + \gamma_{d_2}^{f} f_{\mathbf{0}}\otimes d_2 + \gamma_{e}^{d} d\otimes e_{\mathbf{0}}\\
&+\gamma_{f}^{d} d\otimes f_{\mathbf{0}} + \gamma_{d}^{d} d\otimes d + \gamma_{d_1}^{d} d\otimes d_1 + \gamma_{d_2}^{d} d\otimes d_2 + \gamma_{e}^{d_1} d_1\otimes e_{\mathbf{0}} + \gamma_{f}^{d_1} d_1\otimes f_{\mathbf{0}} + \gamma_{d}^{d_1} d_1\otimes d\\
&+\gamma_{d_1}^{d_1} d_1\otimes d_1 + \gamma_{d_2}^{d_1} d_1\otimes d_2 + \gamma_{e}^{d_2} d_2\otimes e_{\mathbf{0}} + \gamma_{f}^{d_2} d_2\otimes f_{\mathbf{0}} + \gamma_{d}^{d_2} d_2\otimes d + \gamma_{d_1}^{d_2} d_2\otimes d_1 + \gamma_{d_2}^{d_2} d_2\otimes d_2.
\end{aligned}$$

The fact that $d \cdot v \in \mathrm{Im}(1-\tau)$ implies

$$\gamma_{\mathbf{m}}^{e,e} + \gamma_{-\mathbf{m}}^{e,e} = \gamma_{\mathbf{m}}^{f,f} + \gamma_{-\mathbf{m}}^{f,f} = \gamma_{\mathbf{k}}^{e,g} + \gamma_{-\mathbf{k}}^{g,e} = 0,$$

$$\gamma_{\mathbf{k}}^{e,h}+\gamma_{-\mathbf{k}}^{h,e}=\gamma_{\mathbf{k}}^{f,g}+\gamma_{-\mathbf{k}}^{g,f}=\gamma_{\mathbf{k}}^{f,h}+\gamma_{-\mathbf{k}}^{h,f}=\gamma_{d}^{e}+\gamma_{e}^{d}=0,$$
$$\gamma_{d_1}^{e}+\gamma_{e}^{d_1}=\gamma_{d_2}^{e}+\gamma_{e}^{d_2}=\gamma_{d}^{f}+\gamma_{f}^{d}=\gamma_{d_1}^{f}+\gamma_{f}^{d_1}=\gamma_{d_2}^{f}+\gamma_{f}^{d_2}=0.$$

Replacing v by $v-u_1$, where u_1 is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{\mathbf{m}}\big(\gamma_{\mathbf{m}}^{ee}e_{\mathbf{m}}\otimes e_{-\mathbf{m}}+\gamma_{\mathbf{m}}^{ff}f_{\mathbf{m}}\otimes f_{-\mathbf{m}}+\gamma_{\mathbf{m}}^{fe}(f_{\mathbf{m}}\otimes e_{-\mathbf{m}}-e_{-\mathbf{m}}\otimes f_{\mathbf{m}})\big)+\sum_{\mathbf{k}}\big(\gamma_{\mathbf{k}}^{eg}(e_{\mathbf{k}}\otimes g_{-\mathbf{k}}-g_{-\mathbf{k}}\otimes e_{\mathbf{k}})\\&+\gamma_{\mathbf{k}}^{eh}(e_{\mathbf{k}}\otimes h_{-\mathbf{k}}-h_{-\mathbf{k}}\otimes e_{\mathbf{k}})+\gamma_{\mathbf{k}}^{fg}(f_{\mathbf{k}}\otimes g_{-\mathbf{k}}-g_{-\mathbf{k}}\otimes f_{\mathbf{k}})+\gamma_{\mathbf{k}}^{fh}(f_{\mathbf{k}}\otimes h_{-\mathbf{k}}-h_{-\mathbf{k}}\otimes f_{\mathbf{k}})\\&+\gamma_{\mathbf{k}}^{hg}(h_{\mathbf{k}}\otimes g_{-\mathbf{k}}-g_{-\mathbf{k}}\otimes h_{\mathbf{k}})\big)+\gamma_{d}^{e}(e_{\mathbf{0}}\otimes d-d\otimes e_{\mathbf{0}})+v_{d_1}^{e}(e_{\mathbf{0}}\otimes d_1-d_1\otimes e_{\mathbf{0}})+\gamma_{d_2}^{e}(e_{\mathbf{0}}\otimes d_2-d_2\otimes e_{\mathbf{0}})\\&+\gamma_{d}^{f}(f_{\mathbf{0}}\otimes d-d\otimes f_{\mathbf{0}})+\gamma_{d_1}^{f}(f_{\mathbf{0}}\otimes d_1-d_1\otimes f_{\mathbf{0}})+\gamma_{d_2}^{f}(f_{\mathbf{0}}\otimes d_2-d_2\otimes f_{\mathbf{0}})\ \in\ \mathrm{Im}(1-\tau),\end{aligned}$$

we can simplify v as

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{\mathbf{m}}\big(\gamma_{\mathbf{m}}^{ef}e_{\mathbf{m}}\otimes f_{-\mathbf{m}}\big)+\sum_{\mathbf{k}}\big(\gamma_{\mathbf{k}}^{gg}g_{\mathbf{k}}\otimes g_{-\mathbf{k}}+\gamma_{\mathbf{k}}^{hh}h_{\mathbf{k}}\otimes h_{-\mathbf{k}}\big)+\sum_{\mathbf{k}}\big(\gamma_{\mathbf{k}}^{gh}g_{\mathbf{k}}\otimes h_{-\mathbf{k}}\big)\\&+\gamma_{d}^{d}d\otimes d+\gamma_{d_1}^{d}d\otimes d_1+\gamma_{d_2}^{d}d\otimes d_2+\gamma_{d}^{d_1}d_1\otimes d+\gamma_{d_1}^{d_1}d_1\otimes d_1+\gamma_{d_2}^{d_1}d_1\otimes d_2\\&+\gamma_{d}^{d_2}d_2\otimes d+\gamma_{d_1}^{d_2}d_2\otimes d_1+\gamma_{d_2}^{d_2}d_2\otimes d_2,\end{aligned}$$

where the coefficients are all in $\mathbb{C}[q^{\pm1}]$. The fact that $g_{0,1}\cdot v\in\mathrm{Im}(1-\tau)$ forces

$$q^{m_1}\gamma_{m_1,m_2-1}^{ef}-\gamma_{m_1,m_2}^{ef}=(q^{m_1}-1)\gamma_{m_1,m_2-1}^{gh}=\gamma_{d_2}^{d_2}=\gamma_{d_2}^{d}+\gamma_{d}^{d_2}=\gamma_{d_1}^{d_2}+\gamma_{d_2}^{d_1}=0,$$

which in particular gives $\gamma_{m,n}^{ef}=0$. Replacing v by $v-u_1$, where $u_1=\gamma_{d_2}^{d}(d\otimes d_2-d_2\otimes d)+\gamma_{d_1}^{d_2}(d_2\otimes d_1-d_1\otimes d_2)$, we have $\gamma_{d_2}^{d}=\gamma_{d}^{d_2}=\gamma_{d_1}^{d_2}=\gamma_{d_2}^{d_1}=0$. The fact that $g_{1,0}\cdot v\in\mathrm{Im}(1-\tau)$ forces $(q^{m_2}-1)\gamma_{m_1-1,m_2}^{g,h}=\gamma_{d_1}^{d_1}=\gamma_{d_1}^{d}+\gamma_{d}^{d_1}=0$, which gives $\gamma_{m,n}^{g,h}=0$ for $n\in\mathbb{Z}^*$. Replacing v by $v-u$, where $u=\gamma_{d_1}^{d}(d\otimes d_1-d_1\otimes d)$, one can rewrite v as $v=\sum_{\mathbf{k}}\big(\gamma_{\mathbf{k}}^{gg}g_{\mathbf{k}}\otimes g_{-\mathbf{k}}+\gamma_{\mathbf{k}}^{hh}h_{\mathbf{k}}\otimes h_{-\mathbf{k}}\big)+\gamma_{d}^{d}d\otimes d$. The fact that $e_{\mathbf{0}}\cdot v\in\mathrm{Im}(1-\tau)$ forces $\gamma_{d}^{d}=\gamma_{\mathbf{k}}^{gg}+\gamma_{-\mathbf{k}}^{gg}=\gamma_{\mathbf{k}}^{hh}+\gamma_{-\mathbf{k}}^{hh}=0$. Hence $v\in\mathrm{Im}(1-\tau)$. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let $(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}},[\cdot,\cdot],\mathcal{D})$ be a Lie bialgebra structure on $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}$. By (2.3), (3.2) and Proposition 3.4, $\Delta=\Delta_r$ is defined by (2.4) for some $r\in\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}\otimes\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}$. By (2.2), $\mathrm{Im}\,\Delta\subset\mathrm{Im}(1-\tau)$. By Lemma 3.12, $r\in\mathrm{Im}(1-\tau)$. Then (2.2), Lemma 3.1(2) and Corollary 3.3 show that $c(r)=0$. Thus $(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}},[\cdot,\cdot],\Delta)$ is a triangular coboundary Lie bialgebra. $\square$

Acknowledgements The authors would sincerely like to thank Professor Yucai Su for his supervision and invaluable comments.

References

[1] B. Allison, Y. Gao, The root system and the core of an extended affine Lie algebra, *Selecta Math. (N.S.)* **7**(2) (2001), 149–212.

[2] B. Allison, S. Azam, S. Berman, Y. Gao, A. Pianzola, Extended affine Lie algebras and their root systems, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* **126**(603) (1997).

[3] Y. Billig, Representations of toroidal extended affine Lie algebras, *J. Algebra* **308** (2007), 252–269.

[4] S. Berman, Y. Gao, Y. Krylyuk, Quantum tori and the structure of elliptic quasi-simple Lie algebras, *J. Funct. Anal.* **135** (1996) 339–389.

[5] S. Berman, Y. Gao, Y. Krylyuk, E. Neher, The alternative torus and the structure of elliptic quasisimple Lie algebras of type A_2, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **347**(11) (1995), 4315–4363.

[6] Y. Billig, M. Lau, Irreducible modules for extended affine Lie algebras, *J. Algebra* **327** (2011) 208–235.

[7] Y. Cheng, Y. Shi, Lie bialgebra structures on the q-analog Virasoro-like algebras, *Comm. Algebra* **37**(4) (2009), 1264–1274.

[8] V. Drinfeld, Constant quasiclassical solutions of the Yang-Baxter quantum equation, *Soviet Math. Dokl.* **28**(3) (1983), 667–671.

[9] V. Drinfeld, Quantum groups, in: *Proceeding of the International Congress of Mathematicians*, Vol. 1, 2, Berkeley, Calif. 1986, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1987, 798–820.

[10] Y. Gao, Representations of extended affine Lie algebras coordinatized by certain quantum tori, *Compositio Math.* **123**(1) (2000), 1–25.

[11] Y. Gao, Fermionic and bosonic representations of the extended affine Lie algebra $\widetilde{\mathfrak{gl}_N(\mathbb{C}_q)}$, *Cananian Math. Bull.* **45** (2002), 623–633.

[12] Y. Gao, Z. Zeng, Hermitian representations of the extended affine Lie algebra $\widetilde{\mathfrak{gl}_2(\mathbb{C}_q)}$, *Adv. Math.* **207**(1) (2006), 244–265.

[13] J. Han, J. Li, Y. Su, Lie bialgebra structures on the Schrödinger-Virasoro Lie algebra, *J. Math. Phys* **50** (2009), 083504.

[14] R. Høegh-Krohn, B. Torresani, Classification and construction of quasi-simple Lie algebras, *J. Funct. Anal.* **89** (1990), 106–136.

[15] C. Jiang, D. Meng, The derivation algebra of the associative algebra $\mathbb{C}_q[X, Y, X^{-1}, Y^{-1}]$, *Comm. Algebra* **26**(6) (1998), 1723–1736.

[16] W. Lin, Y. Su, Modules for the core of extended affine Lie algebras of type A_1 with coordinates in rank 2 quantum tori, *Pacific J. Math.* **242**(1) (2009), 143–166.

[17] J. Li, Y. Su, B. Xin, Lie bialgebras of a family of Block type, *Chinese Annals of Math. B* **29** (2008), 487–500.

[18] K. Mini, Integrable irreducible highest weight modules for $sl_2(\mathbb{C}_p[x^{\pm 1}, y^{\pm 1}])$, *Osaka J. Math.* **41** (2004), 295–326.

[19] W. Michaelis, A class of infinite-dimensional Lie bialgebras containing the Virasoro algebras, *Adv. Math.* **107** (1994), 365–392.

[20] Y.I. Manin, Topics in noncommutative geometry, Princeton Univ. Press, 1991.

[21] E. Neher, Extended affine Lie algebras, *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Soc. R. Can.* **26** (2004) 90–96.

[22] S.H. Ng, E.J. Taft, Classification of the Lie bialgebra structures on the Witt and Virasoro algebras, *J. Pure Appl. Alg.* **151** (2000), 67–88.

[23] G. Song, Y. Su, Lie bialgebras of generalized Witt type, *Science in China A* **49**(4) (2006), 533–544.

[24] G. Song, Y. Su, B. Xin, Quantization of Hamiltonian-type Lie algebras, *Pacific J. Math.* **240** (2009), 371–381.

[25] Y. Su, K. Zhao, Generalized Virasoro and super-Virasoro algebras and modules of the intermediate series, *J. Algebra* **252** (2002), 1–19.

[26] E.J. Taft, Witt and Virasoro algebras as Lie bialgebras, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **87** (1993), 301–312.

[27] Y. Wu, G. Song, Y. Su, Lie bialgebras of generalized Virasoro-like type, *Acta Mathematica Sinica, English Series* **22** (2006), 1915–1922.

[28] Y. Wu, G. Song, Y. Su, Lie bialgebras of generalized Witt type. II., *Comm. Algebra* **35** (2007), 1992–2007.

[29] X. Yue, Y. Su, Lie bialgebra structures on Lie algebras of generalized Weyl type, *Comm. Algebra* **36** (2008), 1537–1549.